arXiv:1701.03293v2 [math.DG] 30 Jan 2017

SECTIONAL CURVATURE ASYMPTOTICS OF THE HIGGS BUNDLE MODULI SPACE

JAN SWOBODA

Abstract. We determine the asymptotic behavior in the limit of large Higgs fields of the sectional curvatures of the natural L^2 hyperkähler metric G_{L^2} of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ of rank-2 Higgs bundles on a Riemann surface Σ away from the discriminant locus. It is shown that their leading order part is given by a sum of Dirac type contributions on Σ, for which we find explicit expressions.

1. Introduction

Starting with Hitchin's seminal article [Hi87], the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(r,d)$ of solutions to the self-duality equations on a vector bundle E of rank r and degree d over a Riemann surface Σ has been the object of intense research from a number of quite different perspectives. By work of Donaldson [Do87] (which has been extended from Riemann surfaces to higher dimensional Kähler manifolds by Corlette [Co88]), the set of irreducible representations of the fundamental group of the Riemann surface into the Lie group $\mathrm{SL}(r,\mathbb{C})$ is parametrized by (gauge equivalence classes of) solutions to the self-duality equations. This parametrization is in terms of certain equivariant harmonic maps and thus provides an intricate link between the fields of differential geometry, low-dimensional topology and geometric analysis. Moreover, as an instance of the Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence, the moduli spaces of stable Higgs bundles over a curve is in bijective correspondence to that of irreducible solutions to the self-duality equations, furnishing a further link to complex geometry.

In this work, we focus on the differential geometric apects of the moduli space and study asymptotic curvature properties of the natural L^2 (or Weil-Petersson type) metric G_{L^2} it comes equipped with. This metric arises from an (infinite-dimensional) hyperkähler reduction, thus is itself a hyperkähler metric which by a result due to Hitchin [Hi87] is complete if r and d are coprime. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}(r,d)$ is noncompact, and its large scale properties remained unexplored until very recently. First steps towards a better understanding of the ends structure of $\mathcal{M}(2,d)$, both of analytic properties of solutions of the self-duality equations and of the asymptotic structure of

Date: January 31, 2017.

G_{L^2}, were taken in [MSWW14, MSWW15, MSWW17]. Very recent results concerning the large scale structure of solutions in the case of rank $r \geq 3$ are due to Mochizuki [Mo16] and Fredrickson [Fr16]. The former work also covers Higgs bundles whose determinants are holomorphic quadratic differentials with multiple zeroes, a situation not considered in [MSWW14].

The aim of this article is to carry the study of the large scale geometric properties of the moduli space further and to shed some light on the asymptotic behavior of the sectional curvatures of G_{L^2} in the limit of large Higgs fields. We place our results in the setup considered in [MSWW17] and again restrict our attention to the rank-2 case and to a sector of $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}(2,0)$ outside the so-called *discriminant locus*. We thus consider the open and dense subset $\mathcal{B}' := \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{B} = H^0(\Sigma, K_\Sigma^2)$ is the complex vector space of holomorphic quadratic differentials on Σ and $\mathcal{D}$ is the discriminant locus consisting of holomorphic quadratic differentials with at least one multiple zero. We call points $q \in \mathcal{B}'$ simple holomorphic quadratic differentials. Then let $\mathcal{M}' = \det^{-1}(\mathcal{B}')$ denote the inverse image of $\mathcal{B}'$ under the *Hitchin fibration* $\det\colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{B}$, $[(A,\Phi)] \mapsto \det\Phi$. The Hitchin fibration has a natural interpretation as an algebraic completely integrable system, and in particular the fibre $\det^{-1}(q)$ over any $q \in \mathcal{M}'$ is a torus of complex dimension $3(\mathrm{genus}(\Sigma)-1)$, cf. [Hi87] for details. It admits a global section $\det^{-1}\colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{M}$, the *Hitchin section*. In the forthcoming article [MSWW17], asymptotic properties of the restriction to $\mathcal{M}'$ of the Riemannian metric G_{L^2} are studied. It is shown there that the restricted metric is asymptotically close to the so-called "semi-flat" hyperkähler metric G_{sf} associated with the integrable system data of the Hitchin fibration (cf. [Fr99, GMN10] for generalities on semi-flat hyperkähler metrics). The metric G_{sf} is a cone metric, i.e., it is of the form

$$G_{sf} = dt^2 + t^2 G_{B'} + G_{\mathrm{fibre},Y},$$

where $\{t, Y\}$ denotes a suitable polar coordinate system with radial variable t on the base $\mathcal{B}'$. Here $G_{B'}$ is a Riemannian metric on the unit sphere $\{(t,Y) \in \mathcal{B}' \mid t = 1\}$ and $G_{\mathrm{fibre},Y}$ is an intrinsically flat metric on the fibre $\det^{-1}(t^2 Y)$ over the point $t^2 Y \in B'$ which depends on Y but not on t. We let $\mathcal{SH}$ denote the image of $\mathcal{M}'$ under the Hitchin section. The goal of this article is to understand the asymptotic properties of the sectional curvatures of $(\mathcal{M}', G_{L^2})$ as $t \to \infty$. Since it is shown in [MSWW17] that G_{L^2} is asymptotically flat along the fibres of the Hitchin fibration, we consider here the sectional curvatures in direction of two-planes tangent to $\mathcal{SH}$. In this respect the results presented in this article complement those of [MSWW17].

To state our main result, we need to introduce the following pieces of notation. Let $q \in \mathcal{B}'$ be a simple holomorphic quadratic differential. We canonically identify all tangent spaces of $\mathcal{B}'$ with $H^0(\Sigma, K_\Sigma^2)$. For $t > 0$ and

a linearly independent pair $\dot{f}_1, \dot{f}_2 \in H^0(\Sigma, K_\Sigma^2)$, let $(X_t, Y_t) \in T_{\det^{-1}(t^2 q)}\mathcal{SH}$ be its image of $(\dot{f}_1, \dot{f}_2)$ under the differential of $\det^{-1}$. For convenience we further assume that the pair (X_∞, Y_∞) is L^2 orthonormal. By the convergence $(X_t, Y_t) \to (X_\infty, Y_\infty)$ as $t \to \infty$ (cf. §3.2) the pair (X_∞, Y_∞) forms an approximately orthonormal frame for t sufficiently large. We are interested in the leading order asymptotics as $t \to \infty$ of the sectional curvature of the tangent two-plane $\Pi(X_t, Y_t)$ spanned by (X_t, Y_t).

Theorem 1.1. *For a simple holomorphic quadratic differential $q \in H^0(\Sigma, K_\Sigma^2)$ with zero set $\mathfrak{p} = q^{-1}(0)$ and for $t > 0$ sufficiently large, let $(A_t, t\Phi_t) \in \mathcal{SH}$ be the image of $t^2 q$ under the Hitchin section. Let (X_t, Y_t) be the pair of linearly independent tangent vectors induced by $(\dot{f}_1, \dot{f}_2) \in H^0(\Sigma, K_\Sigma^2)$, and let $\Pi(X_t, Y_t)$ be the two-plane spanned by (X_t, Y_t). Then the sectional curvature K of $\Pi(X_t, Y_t)$ with respect to G_{L^2} satisfies*

$$K(\Pi(X_t, Y_t)) = t^{-\frac{4}{3}} \sum_{p \in \mathfrak{p}} \Lambda(\dot{f}_1(p), \dot{f}_2(p), \dot{f}_1(p), \dot{f}_2(p)) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{5}{3}}).$$

Here Λ is some $\mathbb{R}$-multilinear form, which does not depend on p, q or t.

We briefly comment on the method of proof of the theorem. As mentioned above, the manifold $\mathcal{M}$ with its metric G_{L^2} arises as the hyperkähler quotient from a certain (infinite-dimensional) Banach manifold and hence may be placed into the geometric setup considered by Jost–Peng [JoPe92]. This provides us with a formula for the Riemann curvature tensor, hence the sectional curvatures K of G_{L^2} in terms of the Green operators arising from the deformation complex associated with the self-duality equations as stated in Eq. (2.1) below. A further ingredient in the proof is for t sufficiently large the rather explicit parametrization in terms of holomorphic quadratic differentials of the image $\mathcal{SH}$ of the Hitchin section as well as its tangent spaces. Together with a uniform bound on the operator norms of the associated Green operators, which we derive here, it permits us to identify the leading order contribution to $K(\Pi(X_t, Y_t))$ in the limit $t \to \infty$.

This article initiates the study of the large scale curvature properties of $(\mathcal{M}, G_{L^2})$. Related articles dealing with curvatures of Weil-Petersson type metrics on various moduli spaces include the following. Bielawski's article [Bi08] is devoted to curvature properties of Kähler and hyperkähler quotients and contains upper and lower bounds on the sectional curvatures in terms of various quantities related to the metric. In the case of $(\mathcal{M}, G_{L^2})$ he proves a uniform upper bound on the sectional curvatures at a point (A, Φ) in terms of certain algebraic quantities associated with (A, Φ). Furthermore, Biswas and Schumacher [BiSch06] consider a related metric on the moduli space of Higgs bundles over a Kähler manifold X, derive explicit expressions for its curvature tensor, and in the case where X is a Riemann surface show nonnegativity of the holomorphic sectional curvatures. Both

articles are based on a careful evaluation of the relevant Green operator appearing in the work [JoPe92] mentioned above. These and related methods have found applications in a number of further instances, such as to the curvatures of the moduli space of self-dual connections on bundles over four-manifolds ([GrPa87, It88, JoPe92]), the moduli space of Sp(1)-instantons over the 4-sphere ([Hab]), the Teichmüller moduli space of surfaces of genus $\gamma \geq 2$ ([JoPe92]), and to certain moduli spaces of Kähler-Einstein manifolds ([Siu86, Sch93]), to name a few.

Acknowledgments. It is a pleasure to thank Rafe Mazzeo and Hartmut Weiß for a number of useful discussions related to this work.

2. The Higgs bundle moduli space

We introduce the setup and recall the construction and some basic properties of the L^2 metric on the Higgs bundle moduli space, following Hitchin [Hi87]. Then we collect the results obtained in [MSWW14] concerning the ends structure of the moduli space, as far as these are needed later on.

2.1. **Higgs bundles and the self-duality equations.** Let Σ be a compact Riemann surface of genus $\gamma \geq 2$ and $E \to \Sigma$ a complex vector bundle of rank 2. We denote by $\mathrm{End}(E)$ and $\mathfrak{sl}(E)$ the bundles of endomorphisms, respectively tracefree endomorphisms of E. For a hermitian metric h on E, we let $\mathfrak{su}(E) = \mathfrak{su}(E, h)$ denote the subbundle of endomorphisms which are skew-hermitian with respect to h. We use the notation $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{SU}(E, h)$ for the group of special unitary gauge transformations, and write $\mathcal{G}^c = \mathrm{SL}(E)$ for its complexification. Let further $K_\Sigma \to \Sigma$ be the canonical line bundle of Σ. The choice of a holomorphic structure for E is equivalent with the choice of a *Cauchy-Riemann operator* $\bar\partial\colon \Omega^0(E) \to \Omega^{0,1}(E)$, and thus we may consider a holomorphic vector bundle as a pair $(E, \bar\partial)$. A *Higgs field* Φ is a holomorphic section of $\mathrm{End}(E) \otimes K_\Sigma$, i.e. $\Phi \in H^0(\Sigma, \mathrm{End}(E) \otimes K_\Sigma)$. By a *Higgs bundle* of holomorphically trivial determinant we mean a triple $(E, \bar\partial, \Phi)$ such that $\det E := \Lambda^2 E$ is *holomorphically* trivial and the Higgs field Φ is traceless, i.e. $\Phi \in H^0(\Sigma, \mathfrak{sl}(E) \otimes K_\Sigma)$. By a Higgs bundle we shall always mean a Higgs bundle of holomorphically trivial determinant. The group $\mathcal{G}^c$ acts on Higgs bundles diagonally as

$$g \cdot (E, \bar\partial, \Phi) = (E, g^{-1} \circ \bar\partial \circ g, g^{-1}\Phi g).$$

In order to obtain a smooth moduli space we need to restrict to so-called *stable Higgs bundles*. In our setting, where the degree of E vanishes, a Higgs bundle $(E, \bar\partial, \Phi)$ is stable if and only if any Φ-invariant holomorphic subline bundle of E, i.e. a holomorphic subline bundle L satisfying $\Phi(L) \subset L \otimes K_\Sigma$, is of negative degree. We denote by

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{\{\text{stable Higgs bundles}\}}{\mathcal{G}^c}$$

the resulting *moduli space of stable Higgs bundles*, which can be proven to be a smooth complex manifold of dimension $6(\gamma-1)$. It is a non-obvious fact that $\mathcal{M}$ carries a *natural hyperkähler metric* which naturally appears by reinterpreting the holomorphic data in gauge theoretic terms. To explain this, we fix a hermitian metric h on E. Holomorphic structures, as given by a Cauchy-Riemann operator $\bar\partial$ are then in bijective correspondence with special unitary connections, this corresponding being furnished by mapping a unitary connection d_A to its $(0,1)$-part $\bar\partial_A$. After the choice of a base connection the unitary connection is in turn determined by an element in $\Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma,\mathfrak{sl}(E))$. The above action of the group $\mathcal{G}^c$ of complex gauge transformations thus induces one on the set of pairs (A,Φ). We denote this action by (A^g,Φ^g) for $g\in\mathcal{G}^c$. Hitchin proves that in the $\mathcal{G}^c$-equivalence class $[(E,\bar\partial,\Phi)]=[(A,\Phi)]$ there exists a representative $(B,\Psi)=(A^g,\Phi^g)$, unique up to modification by special unitary gauge transformations, such that the so-called *self-duality equations*

$$\mathcal{H}(B,\Psi):=\begin{pmatrix}F_B^\perp+[\Psi\wedge\Psi^*]\\ \bar\partial_B\Psi\end{pmatrix}=0 \tag{2.1}$$

hold. Here, $F_B^\perp\in\Omega^2(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E))$ denotes the traceless part of the curvature of the connection B and $\Phi^*\in\Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma,\mathfrak{sl}(E))$ is the hermitian conjugate with respect to h. We refer to $\mathcal{H}$ as the *nonlinear Hitchin map*. Stability of (E,Φ) translates into the irreducibility of (B,Ψ). It follows that there is a diffeomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}\cong\frac{\{(B,\Psi)\mid(B,\Psi)\text{ solves (2.1) and is irreducible}\}}{\mathcal{G}}.$$

The self-duality equations (2.1) can be interpreted as a *hyperkähler moment map* with respect to the natural action of the special unitary gauge group $\mathcal{G}$ on the quaternionic vector space $\Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma,\mathfrak{sl}(E))\times\Omega^{1,0}(\Sigma,\mathfrak{sl}(E))$ with its natural L^2 metric, cf. [Hi87, HKLR87] for details. Consequently, this metric descends to a hyperkähler metric G_{L^2} on the quotient $\mathcal{M}$. We describe this metric next.

2.2. **The L^2 metric.** In the following, adjoints of differential operators are always understood to be taken with respect to a fixed Riemannian metric compatible with the complex structure of the Riemann surface Σ. We let vol_Σ denote the associated area form. Fix a pair $(A,\Phi)\in\mathcal{H}^{-1}(0)$ and consider the deformation complex

$$\begin{aligned}0\to\Omega^0(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E))\overset{i_{(A,\Phi)}}{\longrightarrow}\Omega^1(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E))\oplus\Omega^{1,0}(\Sigma,\mathfrak{sl}(E))\\ \overset{L_{(A,\Phi)}}{\longrightarrow}\Omega^2(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E))\oplus\Omega^2(\Sigma,\mathfrak{sl}(E))\to 0.\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

The first differential is the linearized action of $\mathcal{G}$ at (A,Φ),

$$i_{(A,\Phi)}(\gamma)=(d_A\gamma,[\Phi\wedge\gamma]),$$

while the second is the linearization of the Hitchin map $\mathcal{H}$,

$$L_{(A,\Phi)}(\dot{A},\dot{\Phi}) = \begin{pmatrix} d_A\dot{A} + [\dot{\Phi}\wedge\Phi^*] + [\Phi\wedge\dot{\Phi}^*] \\ \bar{\partial}_A\dot{\Phi} + [\dot{A}\wedge\Phi] \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.3}$$

Exactness of the above sequence holds whenever the solution (A,Φ) is irreducible, cf. the discussion in [Hi87], which will always be the case here. The tangent space of $\mathcal{M}$ at $[(A,\Phi)]$ can therefore be identified with the quotient

$$\ker L_{(A,\Phi)}/\mathrm{im}\, i_{(A,\Phi)} \cong \ker L_{(A,\Phi)} \cap (\mathrm{im}\, i_{(A,\Phi)})^{\perp}.$$

Now for a vector $(\dot{A},\dot{\Phi})$,

$$(\dot{A},\dot{\Phi}) \perp \mathrm{im}\, i_{(A,\Phi)} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad d_A^*\dot{A} + \mathrm{Re}[\Phi^*\wedge\dot{\Phi}] = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

The real part enters since we consider the Riemannian metric on $\Omega^1(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E))$ coming from the hermitian metric on $\Omega^{1,0}(\Sigma,\mathfrak{sl}(E))$. If this condition is satisfied we will say that $(\dot{A},\dot{\Phi})$ is in *Coulomb gauge*. For tangent vectors $(\dot{A}_i,\dot{\Phi}_i)$, $i=1,2$, in Coulomb gauge the induced L^2 metric is given by

$$G_{L^2}((\dot{A}_1,\dot{\Phi}_1),(\dot{A}_2,\dot{\Phi}_2)) = \int_\Sigma \langle\dot{A}_1,\dot{A}_2\rangle + \langle\dot{\Phi}_1,\dot{\Phi}_2\rangle\ \mathrm{vol}_\Sigma\,.$$

Here we identify $\Omega^1(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E)) \cong \Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma,\mathfrak{sl}(E))$ and use the hermitian inner product on $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ given by $\langle A,B\rangle = \mathrm{Tr}(AB^*)$.

2.3. **The structure of ends of the Higgs bundle moduli space.** From now on it is always understood that the Higgs fields Φ we consider is *simple*, in the sense that the holomorphic quadratic differential $\det\Phi$ has only simple zeroes. This implies, in particular, the stability of any Higgs pair (A,Φ) in the above sense. The set of (gauge equivalence classes of) Higgs pairs with simple Higgs field is an open and dense subset of $\mathcal{M}$. It admits a compactification by so-called 'limiting configurations', consisting of pairs (A_∞,Φ_∞) which satisfy a decoupled version of the self-duality equations (2.1), namely

$$F_{A_\infty}^{\perp} = 0, \quad [\Phi_\infty\wedge\Phi_\infty^*] = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty}\Phi_\infty = 0.$$

Each A_∞ is flat with simple poles in the set $\mathfrak{p}$ of zeroes of $\det\Phi$, while the limiting Higgs fields are holomorphic with respect to these connections and have a specified behavior near these poles. We will return to a description of limiting configurations in more concrete terms in the next section.

Theorem 2.1 ([MSWW14], existence and deformation theory of limiting configurations)**.** *Let (A_0,Φ_0) be a Higgs pair such that $q := \det\Phi_0$ has only simple zeroes. Then there exists a complex gauge transformation g_∞ on $\Sigma^\times = \Sigma\setminus q^{-1}(0)$ which transforms (A_0,Φ_0) into a limiting configuration. Furthermore, the space of limiting configurations with fixed determinant $q\in H^0(\Sigma,K_\Sigma^2)$ having simple zeroes is a torus of dimension $6\gamma-6$, where γ is the genus of Σ.*

Each limiting configuration can be desingularized into a smooth solution of the self-duality equations. This desingularization gives rise to a parametrization of a neighborhood of that part of the boundary of $\mathcal{M}$ which consisits of simple Higgs fields.

Theorem 2.2 ([MSWW14], desingularization theorem)**.** *If (A_∞, Φ_∞) is a limiting configuration, then there exists a family (A_t, Φ_t) of solutions of the rescaled Hitchin equation*

$$F_A^\perp + t^2[\Phi \wedge \Phi^*] = 0, \quad \bar\partial_A \Phi = 0$$

provided t is sufficiently large, where

$$(A_t, \Phi_t) \longrightarrow (A_\infty, \Phi_\infty)$$

as $t \nearrow \infty$, locally uniformly on $\Sigma^\times$ along with all derivatives, at an exponential rate in t. Furthermore, (A_t, Φ_t) is complex gauge equivalent to (A_0, Φ_0) if (A_∞, Φ_∞) is the limiting configuration associated with (A_0, Φ_0).

Near the zeroes of $q = \det \Phi$ the solutions (A_t, Φ_t) obtained in this theorem can be arranged to be in standard form, i.e. to coincide with the so-called *fiducial solutions* $(A_t^{\mathrm{fid}}, \Phi_t^{\mathrm{fid}})$, which will be further discussed in the next section.

3. Approximate local horizontal tangent frames

We give a rather explicit description of the image $\mathcal{SH}$ of the Hitchin section and its tangent spaces, which further on will permit us to determine asymptotic properties of the associated sectional curvatures in the limit $t \to \infty$. All the results discussed in this section are either contained in [MSWW14] or will be part of the forthcoming article [MSWW17], which also contains complete proofs.

3.1. **The (approximate) Hitchin section.** Let $\mathcal{B} = H^0(\Sigma, K_\Sigma^2)$ denote the space of holomorphic quadratic differentials, and $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{B}$ the so-called *discriminant locus*, consisting of holomorphic quadratic differentials for which at least one zero is not simple. This is a closed subvariety which is invariant under the multiplicative action of $\mathbb{C}^\times$, and hence $\mathcal{B}' := \mathcal{B} \setminus \Lambda$ is an open dense subset of $\mathcal{B}$. The determinant is invariant under conjugation and therefore descends to a holomorphic map

$$\det \colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{B}, \qquad [(\bar\partial, \Phi)] \mapsto \det \Phi,$$

called the *Hitchin fibration* [Hi87]. This map is proper and surjective. Let $\mathcal{M}'$ denote the inverse image of $\mathcal{B}'$ under det. We remark that the space $\mathcal{M}'$ with its natural complex symplectic structure admits the interpretation as a completely integrable system over $\mathcal{B}'$, cf. [GS90, Section 44] and [Fr99, GMN10, GMN13, Ne14]. In particular, the fibres of the Hitchin fibration are affine tori. Now fix a holomorphic square root $\Theta = K_\Sigma^{1/2}$ of the canonical

bundle. Then E splits holomorphically as $E = \Theta \oplus \Theta^*$. We define the *Hitchin section* by

$$\det^{-1}\colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{M}, \quad \det^{-1}(q) = \left(\bar\partial_{\Theta\oplus\Theta^*}, \Phi_q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -q \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

We let $\mathcal{SH} = \det^{-1}(\mathcal{B}')$ denote the image of $\mathcal{B}'$ under the Hitchin section.

We need to introduce some more pieces of notation. For a simple holomorphic quadratic differential $q \in \mathcal{B}'$ we denote by $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}(q) = q^{-1}(0) \subset \Sigma$ its set of zeroes. Around each $p \in \mathfrak{p}$ we choose a local complex coordinate z centered at p and such that the unit disks $\mathbb{D}(p)$, $p \in \mathfrak{p}$, are pairwise disjoint. We further set $\Sigma^{\mathrm{ext}} := \Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{p\in\mathfrak{p}} \mathbb{D}(p)$ and $\Sigma^\times := \Sigma \setminus \mathfrak{p}$.

Theorem 2.1 yields for a simple holomorphic quadratic differential q a (singular) limiting configuration (A_∞, Φ_∞) such that $\det \Phi_\infty = q$. It allows for a desingularization, to be described in a moment, by a family $S_t^{\mathrm{app}} := (A_t^{\mathrm{app}}, t\Phi_t^{\mathrm{app}})$ of smooth maps in such a way that S_t^{app} lies exponentially close to the image of $t^2 q$ under the Hitchin section. The assignment $t \mapsto S_t^{\mathrm{app}}$ is therefore a good approximation of the Hitchin section along the ray $t \mapsto t^2 q$. Explicitly, with respect to the decomposition $E = \Theta \oplus \Theta^*$ and a fixed hermitian metric H with Chern connection A_H on E, the components of S_t^{app} are given by

$$A_t^{\mathrm{app}} = A_t^{\mathrm{app}}(q) = A_H + 4f_t(|q|)\operatorname{Im}\bar\partial \log|q| \otimes \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\Phi_t^{\mathrm{app}} = \Phi_t^{\mathrm{app}}(q) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |q|^{-1/2} e^{-h_t(|q|)} q \\ |q|^{1/2} e^{h_t(|q|)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.2}$$

By construction, $\det \Phi_t^{\mathrm{app}} = q$ for all t. On the disks $\mathbb{D}(p)$, where $p \in \mathfrak{p}$, the approximate solution S_t^{app} coincides with the so-called *fiducial solution* $(A_t^{\mathrm{fid}}, t\Phi_t^{\mathrm{fid}})$. These are a family of exact solutions to the self-duality equations, which are defined on the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, and satisfy $\det \Phi_t^{\mathrm{fid}} = -z\,dz^2$. Following [MSWW14, §3.2], we explain their properties as far as these will be needed later on. We set

$$f_t := \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{4} r h_t'\colon [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R},$$

where the function $h_t\colon [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined to be the solution of the ODE

$$(r\partial_r)^2 h = 8t^2 r^3 \sinh(2h)$$

with specific asymptotic properties as $r \searrow 0$ and $r \nearrow \infty$. By the substitution $\rho = \frac{8}{3} t r^{3/2}$ we get $r\partial_r = \frac{3}{2}\rho\partial_\rho$, and writing $h_t(r) = \psi(\rho)$ for some function ψ, we obtain the t-independent ODE

$$(\rho\partial_\rho)^2 \psi = \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 \sinh(2\psi).$$

The equation (3.1) is of Painlevé III type. It admits a unique solution which decays exponentially and has a the correct behavior as $\rho \to 0$, namely

- $\psi(\rho) \sim -\log(\rho^{1/3}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j \rho^{4j/3}\right), \quad \rho \searrow 0;$
- $\psi(\rho) \sim K_0(\rho) \sim \rho^{-1/2} e^{-\rho}, \quad \rho \nearrow \infty;$
- $\psi(\rho)$ is monotonically decreasing (and hence strictly positive).

The notation $A \sim B$ indicates a complete asymptotic expansion. In the first case, for example, for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left| \rho^{-1/3} e^{-\psi(\rho)} - \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_j \rho^{4j/3} \right| \leq C \rho^{4(N+1)/3},$$

with a corresponding expansion for any derivative. The function $K_0(\rho)$ is the Macdonald function (or Bessel function of imaginary argument) of order 0; it has a complete asymptotic expansion involving terms of the form $e^{-\rho}\rho^{-1/2-j}$, $j \geq 0$, as $\rho \to \infty$. We recall the asymptotic properties of the functions h_t and f_t as obtained in [MSWW14, Lemma 3.4].

Lemma 3.1. *The functions $f_t(r)$ and $h_t(r)$ have the following properties:*

(i) As a function of r, f_t has a double zero at $r = 0$ and increases monotonically from $f_t(0) = 0$ to the limiting value $1/8$ as $r \nearrow \infty$. In particular, $0 \leq f_t \leq \frac{1}{8}$.

(ii) As a function of t, f_t is also monotone increasing. Further, $\lim_{t \nearrow \infty} f_t = f_\infty \equiv \frac{1}{8}$ uniformly in $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ on any half-line $[r_0, \infty)$, for $r_0 > 0$.

(iii) There are uniform estimates

$$\sup_{r>0} r^{-1} f_t(r) \leq C t^{2/3} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{r>0} r^{-2} f_t(r) \leq C t^{4/3},$$

where C is independent of t.

(iv) When t is fixed and $r \searrow 0$, then $h_t(r) \sim -\frac{1}{2}\log r + b_0 + \ldots$, where b_0 is an explicit constant. Moreover, $|h_t(r)| \leq C \exp(-\frac{8}{3} t r^{3/2})/(t r^{3/2})^{1/2}$ uniformly for $t \geq t_0 > 0$, $r \geq r_0 > 0$.

(v) There is a uniform estimate

$$\sup_{r \in (0,1)} r^{1/2} e^{\pm h_t(r)} \leq C,$$

where C is independent of t.

The results in [MSWW14] show that the approximate solutions S_t^{app} satisfy the self-duality equations up to some error, which decays (in any C^k norm) at an exponential rate to 0 as $t \to \infty$. Furthermore, for t sufficiently large, it is proven that the complex gauge orbit of S_t^{app} contains a unique nearby exact solution $(A_t, t\Phi_t)$. The set of all approximate solutions obtained in this way forms a smooth Banach manifold which we denote by $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{app}}$, and we may think of its quotient by $\mathcal{G}$ as a good approximation of the submanifold $\mathcal{SH}$ (the image of the Hitchin section) of $\mathcal{M}'$. Our next

aim is to construct a frame of tangent vectors along $\mathcal{SH}$ in sufficiently explicit terms, so that it is well-suited for the subsequent sectional curvature computations.

3.2. **Approximate solutions and approximate tangent spaces.** The construction of a local frame is carried out first locally on the disks $\mathbb{D}(p)$ about the set $\mathfrak{p}$ of zeroes of the holomorphic quadratic differential $q = \det \Phi_t^{\mathrm{app}}$. With respect to the standard complex coordinate z on $\mathbb{D}(p)$ we write $q = f dz^2 = -z\, dz^2$. We consider the variation of the approximate solution S_t^{app} with respect to q, i.e. we pick $\dot{q} \in T_{t^2 q} H^0(\Sigma, K_\Sigma^2) \cong H^0(\Sigma, K_\Sigma^2)$, where restricted to $\mathbb{D}(p)$, $\dot{q} = \dot{f} dz^2$ for some holomorphic function $\dot{f}$. Then we set $(\dot{A}_t^{\mathrm{app}}, t\dot{\Phi}_t^{\mathrm{app}})$, where

$$\dot{\Phi}_t^{\mathrm{app}} := \frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \Phi_t^{\mathrm{app}}(q + \varepsilon\dot{q}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \dot{A}_t^{\mathrm{app}} := \frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0} A_t^{\mathrm{app}}(q + \varepsilon\dot{q}).$$

Thus $(\dot{A}_t^{\mathrm{app}}, t\dot{\Phi}_t^{\mathrm{app}})$ is a tangent vector to $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{app}}$ at the point S_t^{app}. Using the expressions in (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain that

$$\dot{\Phi}_t^{\mathrm{app}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-h_t(|f|)}|f|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\dot{f} - (\frac{1}{2} + |f|h_t'(|f|))f \operatorname{Re}\frac{\dot{f}}{f}\Big) \\ e^{h_t(|f|)}|f|^{1/2} \operatorname{Re}\frac{\dot{f}}{f}\Big(\frac{1}{2} + |f|h_t'(|f|)\Big) & 0 \end{pmatrix} dz \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\dot{A}_t^{\mathrm{app}} = \left(-2f_t'(|f|)|f| \operatorname{Re}\frac{\dot{f}}{f} \operatorname{Im}\frac{df}{f} - 2f_t(|f|) d \operatorname{Im}\frac{\dot{f}}{f}\right) \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.4}$$

The pair $(\dot{A}_t^{\mathrm{app}}, t\dot{\Phi}_t^{\mathrm{app}})$ will in general not satisfy the Coulomb gauge condition. In order to meet it in good approximation we let

$$\gamma_t := -\Big(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}|f|h_t'(|f|)\Big) \operatorname{Im}\frac{\dot{f}}{f} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} = -2f_t(|f|) \operatorname{Im}\frac{\dot{f}}{f} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$$

and define for $\dot{\Phi}_t^{\mathrm{app}}$ as in (3.3) and $\dot{A}_t^{\mathrm{app}}$ as in (3.4)

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_t, t\varphi_t) &:= (\dot{A}_t^{\mathrm{app}}, t\dot{\Phi}_t^{\mathrm{app}}) - i_{S_t^{\mathrm{app}}}\gamma_t \\ &= (\dot{A}_t^{\mathrm{app}} - d_{A_t^{\mathrm{app}}}\gamma_t, t\dot{\Phi}_t^{\mathrm{app}} - t[\Phi_t^{\mathrm{app}} \wedge \gamma_t]).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\alpha_t, t\varphi_t)$ is a further tangent vector to $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{app}}$ at S_t^{app} but, as one may check, satisfies the Coulomb gauge condition up to a much smaller error. Explicit expressions for α_t and φ_t are straightforward to derive. Namely, we obtain that

$$\varphi_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Big(\frac{1}{2} - |f|h_t'(|f|)\Big)e^{-h_t(|f|)}|f|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\dot{f} \\ \Big(\frac{1}{2} + |f|h_t'(|f|)\Big)e^{h_t(|f|)}|f|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{\dot{f}}{f} & 0 \end{pmatrix} dz \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\alpha_t = f_t'(|f|)|f|^{-1}(\dot f\bar\partial\bar f - \bar{\dot f}\partial f)\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & -1\end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.6}$$

We note the pointwise convergence $(\alpha_t,\varphi_t)\to(0,\varphi_\infty)$ as $t\to\infty$ as follows from Lemma 3.1, where φ_∞ is as in (3.5) with $e^{-h_t(|f|)}$ replaced by 1. We may extend (α_t,φ_t) smoothly over $\bigcup_{p\in\mathfrak{p}}\mathbb{D}(p)$ such that on Σ^{ext} it agrees with $(0,\varphi_\infty)$. To put $(\alpha_t,t\varphi_t)$ into Coulomb gauge, we need to apply a final gauge correction step. The terms obtained in this step are less explicit, but still admit estimates which turn out to be sufficient for our purposes.

Gauge correction. We start with a short digression on how this final gauge correction step is carried out. Recall from (2.4) the definition of the Coulomb gauge condition. It is standard to show that by addition of an appropriate gauge correction term, this condition can always be satisfied.

Lemma 3.2 (Coulomb gauge fixing)**.** *For each $(\dot A,\dot\Phi)$ there exists a unique $\xi\in\Omega^0(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E))$ such that $(\dot A,\dot\Phi)-i_{(A,\Phi)}\xi=(\dot A-d_A\xi,\dot\Phi-[\Phi\wedge\xi])$ is in Coulomb gauge. It is given as the unique solution of the linear elliptic equation*

$$\Delta_A\xi+\mathrm{Re}[\Phi\wedge[\Phi^*\wedge\xi]]=d_A^*\dot A+\mathrm{Re}[\Phi^*\wedge\dot\Phi]. \tag{3.7}$$

We carry out the remaining gauge correction step for the normalized tangent vector $(\dot A,\dot\Phi)=(t^{-1}\alpha_t,\varphi_t)$, the normalization being chosen in order to obtain uniformly bounded L^2 norms. We hence need to estimate the solution ξ_t of Eq. (3.7) in the case where $(A,\Phi)=S_t^{\mathrm{app}}=(A_t^{\mathrm{app}},t\Phi_t^{\mathrm{app}})$ is an approximate solution as in (3.1) and (3.2). We abbreviate the right-hand side of this equation as

$$E_t:=t^{-1}d^*_{A_t^{\mathrm{app}}}\alpha_t+t\,\mathrm{Re}[(\Phi_t^{\mathrm{app}})^*\wedge\varphi_t].$$

We let ξ_t denote its unique solution. The resulting tangent vector to S_t^{app} in Coulomb gauge therefore is

$$X_t:=X_t(\dot q):=(t^{-1}\alpha_t,\varphi_t)-i_t\xi_t.$$

We note that for $t=\infty$ the gauge fixing term vanishes, and hence X_∞ equals the above vector $(0,\varphi_\infty)$.

Definition 3.1. We call $X_t(\dot q)$ the tangent vector at S_t^{app} induced by the holomorphic quadratic differential $\dot q$.

It remains to consider the convergence properties of the family $t\mapsto X_t(\dot q)$ in the limit $t\to\infty$ and hence to derive uniform estimates on the gauge correction term $i_t\xi_t$. These are based on the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. *There is a constant C such that E_t satisfies the uniform estimate*

$$\|E_t\|_{C^0(\Sigma)}\le Ct$$

for all $t\ge1$.

The proof of this proposition is given in [MSWW17]. Of relevance in the following will further be that

$$E_t = \mathcal{O}(e^{-\beta t}) \quad \text{on} \quad \Sigma^{\text{ext}}$$

for some constant $\beta > 0$, and that restricted to each disk $\mathbb{D}(p)$, $p \in \mathfrak{p}$,

$$E_t = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some complex-valued function m_t which we do not need to specify here. It is then shown in [MSWW17] that the corresponding gauge correction terms $i_t\xi_t$ decay at rate $t^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ to 0 in L^2 as $t \to \infty$, with a more refined estimate on ξ_t to be given in Lemma 4.8. One thus arrives at the following result.

Lemma 3.4. *There is a constant $C > 0$ which does not depend on t such that*

$$\|X_t - (0, \varphi_\infty)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{3}} \tag{3.8}$$

for all $t \geq 1$.

4. Sectional curvatures of the L^2 metric

4.1. **Generalities.** The study of the curvature properties of the Higgs bundle moduli space fits into the following more general setup considered by Jost and Peng in [JoPe92]. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a smooth Banach manifold, endowed with a smooth Riemannian metric G, and acted on isometrically by a Banach Lie group $\mathcal{G}$ with Banach Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let V be a Banach space and $\phi\colon \mathcal{M} \to V$ be a smooth map, and suppose that the level set $\phi^{-1}(0)$ is invariant under the action of $\mathcal{G}$. Then the smooth part of the quotient $\mathcal{M}_0 := \phi^{-1}(0)/\mathcal{G}$ is again a Banach manifold. It inherits from $(\mathcal{M}, G)$ a Riemannian metric G_0 in such a way that the canonical projection $\pi\colon \phi^{-1}(0) \to \mathcal{M}_0$ is a Riemannian submersion. The main objective of [JoPe92] is to derive a formula for the sectional curvatures of G_0 in terms of that of G, the derivatives of ϕ and the action by $\mathcal{G}$. We make the assumption (which is satisfied in the situation to be considered below) that for every $p \in \phi^{-1}(0)$ the sequence of maps

$$0 \to \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{i_p} T_p\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{j_p} V \to 0$$

is exact, where (with $t \mapsto g_t$ denoting the one-parameter subgroup generated by $X \in \mathfrak{g}$)

$$i\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \Omega^0(T\mathcal{M}), \quad i_p(X) = \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} g_t(p)$$

and

$$j := d\phi\colon T\mathcal{M} \to V.$$

We hence may uniquely identify a tangent vector at the point $[p] \in \mathcal{M}_0$ with some $\alpha \in \ker i_p^* \cap \ker j_p$. Here the adjoint is taken with respect to some fixed inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$. We denote by G_p^0 and G_p^2 the inverses of the associated

Laplacians $i_p^* i_p$ and $j_p j_p^*$, which exist by exactness of the above sequence. Furthermore, for $\gamma \in T_p\mathcal{M}$ we define

$$P_\gamma := (d_\gamma i)(p)\colon \mathfrak{g} \to T_p\mathcal{M}$$

and

$$Q_\gamma := (d_\gamma j)(p)\colon T_p\mathcal{M} \to V.$$

After identifying $\mathfrak{g}^*$ with $\mathfrak{g}$ via the above chosen inner product, the adjoint of the map P_γ is the map $P_\gamma^*\colon T_p\mathcal{M} \to \mathfrak{g}$. The following theorem relates $\langle R(\alpha,\beta)\gamma,\delta\rangle_{G_0}$, the curvature tensor of $(\mathcal{M}_0, G_0)$ evaluated on the tuple $(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta)$ of tangent vectors at $[p]$, to that of $(\mathcal{M}, G)$.

Theorem 4.1. *(*[JoPe92, Theorem 2.3]*). The Riemann curvature tensor of $\mathcal{M}_0$ with respect to the induced metric G_0 is*

$$\begin{aligned}\langle R(\alpha,\beta)\gamma,\delta\rangle_{G_0} &= \langle R^{\mathcal{M}}(\alpha,\beta)\gamma,\delta\rangle_G + \langle G_p^0 P_\alpha^*\delta, P_\beta^*\gamma\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} - \langle G_p^0 P_\beta^*\delta, P_\alpha^*\gamma\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &\quad + 2\langle G_p^0 P_\delta^*\gamma, P_\alpha^*\beta\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \langle G_p^2 Q_\beta\gamma, Q_\alpha\delta\rangle_V - \langle G_p^2 Q_\alpha\gamma, Q_\beta\delta\rangle_V,\end{aligned}$$

where $R^{\mathcal{M}}$ is the curvature tensor of $(\mathcal{M}, G)$.

The terms involving G_p^0 have a natural interpretation as O'Neill-type contributions to the curvature coming from the Riemannian submersion $\phi^{-1}(0) \to \mathcal{M}_0$, while the terms involving G_p^2 represent Gauß-type contributions associated with the embedding $\phi^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$.

Our goal is to analyze the sectional curvatures of $(\mathcal{M}, G_{L^2})$ by employing the curvature formula of Theorem 4.1. In our setup, to be described next, the ambient metric G is flat. Thus the sectional curvature of G_0 in direction of the 2-plane spanned by the orthogonal frame $\{X, Y\}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}K(X,Y) &= \langle R(X,Y)Y, X\rangle_{G_0} \\ &= 3\langle G_0 P_X^* Y, P_X^* Y\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \langle G_2 Q_X X, Q_Y Y\rangle_V - \langle G_2 Q_X Y, Q_X Y\rangle_V,\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

using that (as shown in [JoPe92]) the map Q is symmetric and P^* is skew-symmetric.

4.2. **The elliptic complex and the associated Laplacians.** Coming back to the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ of solutions to the self-duality equations, the role of the map ϕ above is taken by the nonlinear Hitchin map

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}\colon \mathcal{A}(E,h) \times \Omega^{1,0}(\Sigma, \mathfrak{sl}(E)) &\mapsto \Omega^2(\Sigma, \mathfrak{su}(E)) \oplus \Omega^2(\Sigma, \mathfrak{sl}(E)), \\ \mathcal{H}(A, t\Phi) &:= \begin{pmatrix} F_A^\perp + t^2[\Phi \wedge \Phi^*] \\ \bar\partial_A \Phi \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Its linearization at $(A, t\Phi)$ is the map $L_{(A,t\Phi)}$ as in (2.3), which we write in the form

$$L_{(A,t\Phi)} = \begin{pmatrix} d_A & tR_\Phi \\ tS_\Phi & \bar\partial_A \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here we denote

$$R_\Phi\colon \Omega^{1,0}(\Sigma,\mathfrak{sl}(E))\to\Omega^2(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E)),\qquad R_\Phi\varphi=[\Phi\wedge\varphi^*]+[\Phi^*\wedge\varphi]$$

and

$$S_\Phi\colon \Omega^1(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E))\to\Omega^2(\Sigma,\mathfrak{sl}(E)),\qquad S_\Phi\alpha=[\Phi\wedge\alpha^{0,1}].$$

Recall that the infinitesimal action of the group of unitary gauge transformations at $(A,t\Phi)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}i_{(A,t\Phi)}\colon \Omega^0(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E))&\to\Omega^1(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E))\oplus\Omega^{1,0}(\Sigma,\mathfrak{sl}(E)),\\ i_{(A,t\Phi)}\gamma&=(d_A\gamma,[t\Phi\wedge\gamma]).\end{aligned}$$

The maps $i_{(A,t\Phi)}$ and $L_{(A,t\Phi)}$ combine to give an elliptic complex as in (2.2). The induced Laplace operators in degree 0 and 2 are

$$D^0_{(A,t\Phi)}:=i^*_{(A,t\Phi)}i_{(A,t\Phi)}\colon\Omega^0(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E))\to\Omega^0(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E)) \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}D^2_{(A,t\Phi)}&:=L_{(A,t\Phi)}L^*_{(A,t\Phi)}\colon\\ &\Omega^2(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E))\oplus\Omega^2(\Sigma,\mathfrak{sl}(E))\to\Omega^2(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E))\oplus\Omega^2(\Sigma,\mathfrak{sl}(E)).\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Finally, the above operators P and Q read in the present context

$$\begin{aligned}P_{(\alpha,\varphi)}\colon \Omega^0(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E))&\to\Omega^1(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E))\oplus\Omega^{1,0}(\Sigma,\mathfrak{sl}(E)),\\ P_{(\alpha,\varphi)}\gamma&=\tfrac{d}{dt}\big|_{\varepsilon=0}\,i_{(A+\varepsilon\alpha,t\Phi+\varepsilon\varphi)}=([\alpha\wedge\gamma],[\varphi\wedge\gamma])\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}Q_{(\alpha,\varphi)}\colon \Omega^1(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E))\oplus\Omega^{1,0}(\Sigma,\mathfrak{sl}(E))&\to\Omega^2(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E))\oplus\Omega^2(\Sigma,\mathfrak{sl}(E)),\\ Q_{(\alpha,\varphi)}(\beta,\psi)&=\tfrac{d}{d\varepsilon}\big|_{\varepsilon=0}\,L_{(A+\varepsilon\alpha,t\Phi+\varepsilon\varphi)}(\beta,\psi)\\ &=([\alpha\wedge\beta]+[\varphi\wedge\psi^*]+[\varphi^*\wedge\psi],[\alpha^{0,1}\wedge\psi]+[\beta^{0,1}\wedge\varphi]).\end{aligned}$$

By computations similar to those in the next section, the adjoint of $P_{(\alpha,\varphi)}$ is the operator

$$\begin{aligned}P^*_{(\alpha,\varphi)}\colon \Omega^1(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E))\oplus\Omega^{1,0}(\Sigma,\mathfrak{sl}(E))&\to\Omega^0(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E)),\\ P^*_{(\alpha,\varphi)}(\beta,\psi)&=*[*\alpha\wedge\beta]+\frac{i}{2}*[\varphi\wedge\psi^*]-\frac{i}{2}*[\varphi^*\wedge\psi].\end{aligned}$$

Computation of the adjoint operators. We wish to write down the operators $D^0_{(A,t\Phi)}$ and $D^2_{(A,t\Phi)}$ in more explicit terms, and thus need to calculate various adjoints with respect to the hermitian inner product on $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ given by $\langle A,B\rangle=\operatorname{Re}\operatorname{Tr}(AB^*)$. Starting with $i_{(A,t\Phi)}$, its adjoint is the operator

$$\begin{aligned}i^*_{(A,t\Phi)}\colon \Omega^1(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E))\oplus\Omega^{1,0}(\Sigma,\mathfrak{sl}(E))&\to\Omega^0(\Sigma,\mathfrak{su}(E)),\\ i^*_{(A,t\Phi)}(\alpha,\varphi)&=d^*_A\alpha+\frac{i}{2}*[t\Phi\wedge\varphi^*]-\frac{i}{2}*[t\Phi^*\wedge\varphi].\end{aligned}$$

The adjoint of $L_{(A,\Phi)}$ is

$$L^*_{(A,t\Phi)} = \begin{pmatrix} d^*_A & tS^*_\Phi \\ tR^*_\Phi & \bar\partial^*_A \end{pmatrix}.$$

To compute the entries R^*_Φ and S^*_Φ we use the identities

$$*(dz \wedge d\bar z) = -2i, \qquad *dz = -idz, \qquad *d\bar z = id\bar z.$$

Then we calculate the adjoints of the operators

$$M_\Phi \colon \Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma, \mathfrak{sl}(E)) \to \Omega^{1,1}(\Sigma, \mathfrak{sl}(E)), \quad \psi \mapsto [\Phi \wedge \psi],$$
$$M_{\Phi^*} \colon \Omega^{1,0}(\Sigma, \mathfrak{sl}(E)) \to \Omega^{1,1}(\Sigma, \mathfrak{sl}(E)), \quad \psi \mapsto [\Phi^* \wedge \psi].$$

Writing in local coordinates $\Phi = \varphi\, dz$, $\sigma = \underline{\sigma}\, dz \wedge d\bar z$ and $M^*_\Phi \sigma = \underline{\tau}\, d\bar z$ we find that for all $\psi = \underline{\psi}\, d\bar z$ the defining equation $\langle M^*_\Phi \sigma, \psi\rangle = \langle \sigma, M_\Phi \psi\rangle$ for the adjoint is equivalent to

$$2\langle \underline{\tau}, \underline{\psi}\rangle = 4\langle \underline{\sigma}, [\varphi, \underline{\psi}]\rangle,$$

hence

$$\langle \underline{\tau}, \underline{\psi}\rangle = -2\langle [\underline{\sigma}, \varphi^*], \underline{\psi}\rangle,$$

so that

$$\underline{\tau} = 2[\varphi^*, \underline{\sigma}].$$

Since $\underline{\sigma} = \frac{i}{2} * \sigma$ it follows that

$$M^*_\Phi \sigma = i[\Phi^* \wedge *\sigma].$$

Similarly,

$$M^*_{\Phi^*} \sigma = -i[\Phi \wedge *\sigma].$$

Let $i_{\mathbb{C}} \colon \Omega^1(\Sigma, \mathfrak{su}(E)) \to \Omega^1(\Sigma, \mathfrak{sl}(E))$ and $i^{0,1} \colon \Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma, \mathfrak{sl}(E)) \to \Omega^1(\Sigma, \mathfrak{sl}(E))$ be the natural inclusion maps, and let $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\pi^{0,1}$ denote their adjoints. Then writing $S_\Phi = M_\Phi \circ \pi^{0,1} \circ i_{\mathbb{C}}$ it follows that

$$S^*_\Phi = \pi_{\mathbb{C}} \circ i^{0,1} \circ M^*_\Phi \colon \Omega^{1,1}(\Sigma, \mathfrak{sl}(E)) \to \Omega^1(\Sigma, \mathfrak{su}(E)),$$

hence

$$S^*_\Phi \sigma = \frac{i}{2}[\Phi^* \wedge *\sigma] + \frac{i}{2}[\Phi^* \wedge *\sigma]^* = \frac{i}{2}[\Phi^* \wedge *\sigma] - \frac{i}{2}[\Phi \wedge *\sigma^*].$$

Furthermore, $R_\Phi = 2\pi_{\mathbb{C}} \circ M_{\Phi^*}$, from which it follows that $R^*_\Phi = 2M^*_{\Phi^*} \circ i_{\mathbb{C}} \colon \Omega^{1,1}(\Sigma, \mathfrak{su}(E)) \to \Omega^{1,0}(\Sigma, \mathfrak{sl}(E))$, thus

$$R^*_\Phi \mu = -2i[\Phi \wedge *\mu].$$

Inserting these computations, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} L^*_{(A,t\Phi)} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} d^*_A & tS^*_\Phi \\ tR^*_{t\Phi} & \bar\partial^*_A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} d^*_A \mu + \frac{i}{2} t[\Phi^* \wedge *\sigma] - \frac{i}{2} t[\Phi \wedge *\sigma^*] \\ \bar\partial^*_A \sigma - 2it[\Phi \wedge *\mu] \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

The Laplacians. For the remainder of the article, if not mentioned otherwise, we assume that the pair $(A, t\Phi)$ is a solution to the self-duality equations $\mathcal{H}(A, t\Phi) = 0$. The Laplace operator $D^0_{(A,t\Phi)}$ in (4.2) then takes the form

$$D^0_{(A,t\Phi)}\gamma = \Delta_A\gamma + t^2 * [\Phi \wedge [\Phi^* \wedge \gamma]] - t^2 * [\Phi^* \wedge [\Phi \wedge \gamma]].$$

This operator has been studied to considerable extend in [MSWW14] (there as an operator acting on sections of $i\mathfrak{su}(E)$ rather than $\mathfrak{su}(E)$, which is essentially the same since multiplication by i intertwins both operators). Hence in the following we need to focus more closely on the second Laplacian $D^2_{(A,t\Phi)}$ as defined in (4.3). Using the expression in (4.4), it reads

$$D^2_{(A,t\Phi)} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_A + t^2 R_\Phi R^*_\Phi & t d_A S^*_\Phi + t R_\Phi \bar\partial^*_A \\ t S_\Phi d^*_A + t\bar\partial_A R^*_\Phi & \bar\partial_A\bar\partial^*_A + t^2 S_\Phi S^*_\Phi \end{pmatrix}.$$

With

$$\begin{aligned} R_\Phi R^*_\Phi\mu &= 2i[\Phi \wedge [\Phi^* \wedge *\mu]] - 2i[\Phi^* \wedge [\Phi \wedge *\mu]], \\ \bar\partial_A R^*_\Phi\mu &= -2[\Phi \wedge \partial^*_A\mu] = -2S_\Phi d^*_A\mu, \\ S_\Phi S^*_\Phi\sigma &= \frac{i}{2}[\Phi \wedge [\Phi^* \wedge *\sigma]], \\ R_\Phi\bar\partial^*_A\sigma &= [\Phi \wedge \partial^*_A\sigma^*] + [\Phi^* \wedge \bar\partial^*_A\sigma], \\ d_A S^*_\Phi\sigma &= -\frac{i}{2}[\Phi^* \wedge \partial_A * \sigma] + \frac{i}{2}[\Phi \wedge \bar\partial_A * \sigma^*] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}R_\Phi\bar\partial^*_A\sigma \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that

$$D^2_{(A,t\Phi)}\begin{pmatrix}\mu\\ \sigma\end{pmatrix} = \tag{4.5}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta_A\mu + 2it^2[\Phi \wedge [\Phi^* \wedge *\mu]] - 2it^2[\Phi^* \wedge [\Phi \wedge *\mu]] & \frac{1}{2}t[\Phi^* \wedge \bar\partial^*_A\sigma] + \frac{1}{2}t[\Phi \wedge \partial^*_A\sigma^*] \\ -t[\Phi \wedge \partial^*_A\mu] & \bar\partial_A\bar\partial^*_A\sigma + \frac{i}{2}t^2[\Phi \wedge [\Phi^* \wedge *\sigma]] \end{pmatrix}.$$

In order to understand the asymptotic behaviour of the individual terms in the sectional curvature formula (4.1), we need to analyze the family of Green operators $G^2_{(A,t\Phi)} := (D^2_{(A,t\Phi)})^{-1}$ in the limit $t \to \infty$ (the analysis of $G^0_{(A,t\Phi)} := (D^0_{(A,t\Phi)})^{-1}$ being carried out in [MSWW14]). This is the goal of the next section.

4.3. **Estimates on the Green operator $G^2_{(A,t\Phi)}$.** For a solution $(A, t\Phi)$ as above, we denote $i_t := i_{(A,t\Phi)}$, $L_t := L_{(A,t\Phi)}$ and $D^j_t := D^j_{(A,t\Phi)}$, $j = 0, 2$. We first consider the operator D^2_t on the disk $\mathbb{D}$, assuming that $(A, t\Phi) = (A^{\mathrm{fid}}_t, t\Phi^{\mathrm{fid}}_t)$ is the fiducial solution as introduced in §3.1.

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose that the pair (μ, σ) satisfies Neumann boundary conditions on $\mathbb{D}$. Then $D^2_t(\mu, \sigma) = 0$ for any $t > 0$ implies $(\mu, \sigma) = 0$.*

Proof. The equation $D_t^2(\mu,\sigma)=0$ and Neumann boundary conditions imply that $L_t^*(\mu,\sigma)=0$. Hence $d_A^*\mu+\frac{i}{2}t[\Phi^*\wedge *\sigma]-\frac{i}{2}t[\Phi\wedge *\sigma^*]=0$. Differentiating this equation we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d_Ad_A^*\mu-\frac{i}{2}t[\Phi^*\wedge\partial_A*\sigma]+\frac{i}{2}t[\Phi\wedge\bar\partial_A*\sigma^*] \\ &= d_Ad_A^*\mu-\frac{i}{2}t[\Phi^*\wedge *\bar\partial_A^*\sigma]+\frac{i}{2}t[\Phi\wedge *\partial_A^*\sigma^*] \\ &= d_Ad_A^*\mu+t^2[\Phi^*\wedge *[\Phi\wedge *\mu]]+t^2[\Phi\wedge *[\Phi\wedge *\mu]^*], \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from $\bar\partial_A^*\sigma-2it[\Phi\wedge *\mu]=0$. Taking the inner product with μ and integrating by parts we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle\mu, d_Ad_A^*\mu+t^2[\Phi^*\wedge *[\Phi\wedge *\mu]]+t^2[\Phi\wedge *[\Phi\wedge *\mu]^*]\rangle \\ &= \|d_A^*\mu\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}+t^2\|[\Phi\wedge *\mu]\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}+t^2\|[\Phi^*\wedge *\mu]\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}. \end{aligned}$$

The integration by parts is justified again by the assumption that μ satisfies Neumann boundary conditions. Now the latter equation implies that $[\Phi\wedge *\mu]=[\Phi^*\wedge *\mu]=0$, which we claim forces μ to vanish identically. Namely, writing $\Phi=\varphi\,dz$, this condition implies that $*\mu=u\varphi$ and $*\mu=v\varphi^*$ for complex-valued functions u and v. Since for all $(r,\theta)\in\mathbb{D}$, $r\neq 0$,

$$\varphi(r,\theta)=r^{\frac{1}{2}}\begin{pmatrix}0 & e^{-h_t}e^{i\theta}\\ e^{h_t} & 0\end{pmatrix}\qquad\text{and}\qquad \varphi^*(r,\theta)=r^{\frac{1}{2}}\begin{pmatrix}0 & e^{h_t}\\ e^{-h_t}e^{-i\theta} & 0\end{pmatrix}$$

are linearly independent, we conclude that $u=v=0$ and hence that μ vanishes identically. It is then not difficult to see that also $\sigma=0$. Namely, with $\mu=0$ it follows that $\bar\partial_A^*\sigma=0$ and $[\Phi^*\wedge *\sigma]=0$. The latter condition shows that $*\sigma=u\varphi^*$ for some further complex-valued function u. The first condition is equivalent to $\partial_A*\sigma=0$. We therefore conclude that $0=\partial_A(u\varphi^*)=\partial u\cdot\varphi^*$, since $\partial_A\Phi^*=0$. Hence the function u is antiholomorphic on $\mathbb{D}$. Together with Neumann boundary conditions assumed by $*\sigma$ it is straightforward to check that u has to vanish identically. This completes the proof. □

We use this proposition to establish a uniform lower bound for the first eigenvalue of D_t^2. For the operator D_t^0 such a lower bound has been shown in [MSWW14, Lemma 6.3]. We follow its proof, which is based on the domain decomposition principle as stated in [Bä], with some minor modifications. At this point we also need to introduce, for any limiting configuration (A_∞,Φ_∞), the splitting of the vector bundle $\mathfrak{su}(E)\to\Sigma^\times$ into the direct sum of the line bundle L_∞ of traceless skew-hermitian endomorphisms commuting with Φ_∞ and its L^2 orthogonal complement $L_\infty^\perp$. Both subbundles are parallel with respect to the connection A_∞, cf. [MSWW14, §4.2] for details. Note that the complexifications of these subbundles give rise to the decomposition $\mathfrak{sl}(E)=L_\infty^{\mathbb{C}}\oplus L_\infty^{\mathbb{C},\perp}$.

Lemma 4.3. *There exists a constant $C>0$ such that the smallest eigenvalue λ_t of D_t^2 satisfies $\lambda_t\geq C$ for all sufficiently large t.*

Proof. For $t \geq 1$ we decompose Σ into the disjoint union $\Sigma = \bar{\Sigma}_t^{\mathrm{ext}} \cup \bigcup_{p\in\mathfrak{p}} \mathbb{D}_{p,t}$, where $\mathbb{D}_{p,t}$ denotes the open disk of radius $ct^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ about p and $\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}} = \Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{p\in\mathfrak{p}} \bar{\mathbb{D}}_{p,t}$. The domain decomposition principle yields for λ_t the lower bound

$$\lambda_t \geq \min_{p\in\mathfrak{p}} \{\lambda_t(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}}), \lambda_t(\mathbb{D}_{p,t})\},$$

where $\lambda_t(U)$ denotes the smallest eigenvalue of D_t^2 under Neumann boundary conditions on the subdomain $U \in \{\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}}\} \cup \bigcup_{p\in\mathfrak{p}} \{\mathbb{D}_{p,t}\}$. We show a uniform lower bound for these, utilizing in each case the variational characterization of the smallest Neumann eigenvalue as the infimum of the Rayleigh quotient

$$\mathcal{R}_{U,t}(v) := \frac{\|L_t^* v\|^2_{L^2(U)}}{\|v\|^2_{L^2(U)}}$$

over all nonzero $v \in H^1(U)$. The result is then implied by the following two claims.

Claim 1. There is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $p \in \mathfrak{p}$ the smallest Neumann eigenvalue on $\mathbb{D}_{p,t}$ satisfies $\lambda_t(\mathbb{D}_{p,t}) \geq C$ for all sufficiently large t.

Since for t sufficiently large, the solution $(A, t\Phi)$ differs from $(A_t^{\mathrm{fid}}, t\Phi_t^{\mathrm{fid}})$ by some exponentially small (w.r.t. any C^k norm) term, it suffices to prove the claim with $(A, t\Phi)$ replaced by $(A_t^{\mathrm{fid}}, t\Phi_t^{\mathrm{fid}})$. We further observe that the numerator of the Rayleigh quotient $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{D}_{p,t},t}$ is invariant under the conformal rescaling $(r, \theta) \mapsto (\rho, \theta)$ with $\rho = \frac{8}{3} t r^{\frac{3}{2}}$. In fact, a straightforward calculation shows that for every $v \in H^1(\mathbb{D}_{p,t})$ the quantity $|L_t^* v|^2$ scales with the factor $t^{\frac{4}{3}}$, while the area form $r dr d\theta = \frac{\sqrt[3]{3}}{8} t^{-\frac{4}{3}} \rho^{\frac{1}{3}} d\rho d\theta$ scales with $t^{-\frac{4}{3}}$. As for the denominator $\|v\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{D}_{p,t})}$, we get a scaling with the factor $t^{-\frac{4}{3}}$, so that altogether

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{D}_{p,t},t}(v) = t^{\frac{4}{3}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{D}_{p,1},1}(\hat{v}),$$

where $\hat{v}(\rho, \theta) = v(r, \theta)$. Passing to the infimum on both sides it follows that $\lambda_t(\mathbb{D}_{p,t}) = t^{\frac{4}{3}} \lambda_1(\mathbb{D}_{p,1})$. Since by Proposition 4.2 the kernel of the operator L_1^* under Neumann boundary conditions is trivial, it follows that $\lambda_1(\mathbb{D}_{p,1}) > 0$, completing the proof of the claim.

Claim 2. There is a constant $C > 0$ such that the smallest Neumann eigenvalue on Σ_t^{ext} satisfies $\lambda_t(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}}) \geq C$ for all sufficiently large t.

Since on Σ_t^{ext} the pair (A_t, Φ_t) differs from (A_∞, Φ_∞) by a term which decays (w.r.t. any C^k norm) exponentially to 0 as $t \to \infty$, it is enough to show a t-independent lower bound for $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{D}_{p,t},t}(v)$ where in the Rayleigh quotient the operator L_t^* is replaced by the one induced by (A_∞, Φ_∞). We again denote this new operator by L_t^*. From (4.4) we see that L_t^* acts invariantly on the subspaces $\Omega^2(\Sigma, L_\infty) \oplus \Omega^2(\Sigma, L_\infty^{\mathbb{C}})$ and $\Omega^2(\Sigma, L_\infty^{\perp}) \oplus \Omega^2(\Sigma, L_\infty^{\mathbb{C},\perp})$, so that it is enough to show a t-independent lower bound for the restriction of the Rayleigh quotient to either of these subspaces. Note that $L_t^* \colon (\mu, \sigma) \mapsto$

$(d^*_{A_\infty}\mu, \bar\partial^*_{A_\infty}\sigma)$ for any element (μ,σ) of the former subspace. Since the connection A_∞ is flat it follows that $\partial^*_{A_\infty}\bar\partial^*_{A_\infty} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{A_\infty}$, so that it suffices to argue that Δ_{A_∞} satisfies a t-independent positive lower bound on Σ_t^{ext} under Neumann boundary conditions. This follows by the same line of argument as in the proof of [MSWW14, Proposition 5.2 (i)]. It makes use of the fact that on the line bundle L_∞ the Laplacian Δ_{A_∞} equals a nonnegative operator plus a potential term satisfying a uniform positive pointwise lower bound, and carries over to the situation at hand. Next we consider L_t^* as an operator on $\Omega^2(\Sigma, L_\infty^\perp) \oplus \Omega^2(\Sigma, L_\infty^{\mathbb{C},\perp})$. Using the expression (4.5) for $D_t^2 = L_t L_t^*$ together with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we can in this case estimate the numerator of the Rayleigh quotient $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}}}(\mu,\sigma)$ from above as (using the notation $(A,\Phi) = (A_\infty, \Phi_\infty)$ for short)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\langle (\mu,\sigma), D_t^2(\mu,\sigma)\rangle_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} \\
&\quad = \|d_A\mu\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} + \|\bar\partial_A^*\sigma\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} \\
&\qquad +2t^2\langle \mu, i[\Phi\wedge[\Phi^*\wedge *\mu]] - i[\Phi^*\wedge[\Phi\wedge *\mu]]\rangle_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} \\
&\qquad +\frac{t}{2}\langle \mu, [\Phi^*\wedge\bar\partial_A^*\sigma] + [\Phi\wedge\partial_A^*\sigma^*]\rangle_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} \\
&\qquad +\frac{t^2}{2}\langle \sigma, i[\Phi\wedge[\Phi^*\wedge *\sigma]]\rangle - t\langle\sigma, [\Phi\wedge\partial_A^*\mu]\rangle_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} \\
&\quad = \|d_A\mu\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} + \|\bar\partial_A^*\sigma\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} \\
&\qquad +4t^2\|[\Phi\wedge *\mu]\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} + \frac{t^2}{2}\|[\Phi^*\wedge *\sigma]\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} \\
&\qquad -\frac{t}{2}\langle[*\mu\wedge\Phi], \bar\partial_A^*\sigma\rangle_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} - \frac{t}{2}\langle[*\mu\wedge\Phi^*], \partial_A^*\sigma^*\rangle_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} \\
&\qquad +t\langle[*\sigma\wedge\Phi^*], \partial_A^*\mu\rangle_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} \\
&\quad \geq \|d_A\mu\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} + \|\bar\partial_A^*\sigma\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} \\
&\qquad +4t^2\|[\Phi\wedge *\mu]\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} + \frac{t^2}{2}\|[\Phi^*\wedge *\sigma]\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} \\
&\qquad -\frac{1}{4}\|\bar\partial_A^*\sigma\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} - t^2\|[\Phi\wedge *\mu]\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} \\
&\qquad -\|\partial_A^*\mu\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} - \frac{t^2}{4}\|[\Phi^*\wedge *\sigma]\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} \\
&\quad = \|\bar\partial_A^*\mu\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} + \frac{3}{4}\|\bar\partial_A^*\sigma\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} \\
&\qquad +3t^2\|[\Phi\wedge *\mu]\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} + \frac{t^2}{4}\|[\Phi^*\wedge *\sigma]\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since sections of $L_\infty^\perp$, respectively of $L_\infty^{\mathbb{C},\perp}$ satisfy the uniform pointwise lower bounds

$$|[\Phi\wedge *\mu]|^2 \geq C|\mu|^2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad |[\Phi^*\wedge *\sigma]|^2 \geq C|\sigma|^2$$

for some t-independent constant $C > 0$ (cf. [MSWW14] for details), we get that

$$\langle (\mu,\sigma), D_t^2(\mu,\sigma)\rangle_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})} \geq Ct^2 \|(\mu,\sigma)\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\mathrm{ext}})},$$

and the claim follows. □

As an immediate consequence, we record the following corollary.

Corollary 4.4. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the norms of the operators G_t^0 and G_t^2 satisfy the uniform bound*

$$\|G_t^0\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\Sigma))} + \|G_t^2\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\Sigma))} \leq C$$

for all sufficiently large t.

Remark. The estimate in Corollary 4.4 holds for all $t \geq 1$, as follows from a simple compactness argument and the fact (shown in [Hi87]) that the operators D_t^j, $j = 0, 2$, have bounded inverses G_t^j for all $t > 0$.

Subsequently, we let Δ denote the (negative semidefinite) Laplace-Beltrami operator on Σ. Thus $\Delta = -d^*d = -2\bar\partial^*\bar\partial$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $U \subseteq \Sigma$ be open and suppose that (μ,σ) satisfies $D_t^2(\mu,\sigma) = 0$ on U. Then the function $u = \frac{1}{2}(|\mu|^2 + \frac{3}{4}|\sigma|^2)$ satisfies the differential inequality*

$$\Delta u \geq \frac{1}{7}|\partial_A^*\mu|^2 + |\bar\partial_A^*\mu|^2 + \frac{3}{2}\left|\bar\partial_A^*\sigma\right|^2 + \frac{7}{2}t^2|[\Phi \wedge *\mu]|^2 + \frac{3}{32}t^2|[\Phi^* \wedge *\sigma]|^2. \tag{4.6}$$

It is in particular subharmonic on U and hence assumes its maximum on the boundary ∂U. An analogue statement holds for the operator D_t^0 (cf. [MSWW17] *for the slightly easier proof).*

Proof. The function Δu satisfies the general identity

$$\Delta u = \frac{1}{2}\Delta(|\mu|^2 + \frac{3}{4}|\sigma|^2) = |d_A^*\mu|^2 - \langle d_A d_A^*\mu, \mu\rangle + \frac{3}{2}\left|\bar\partial_A^*\sigma\right|^2 - \frac{3}{2}\langle \bar\partial_A\bar\partial_A^*\sigma, \sigma\rangle$$

with respect to any unitary connection A. Now replacing $d_A d_A^*\mu$ and $\bar\partial_A\bar\partial_A^*\sigma$ using the equation $D_t^2(\mu,\sigma) = 0$ we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u = |d_A^*\mu|^2 &+ \frac{3}{2}\left|\bar\partial_A^*\sigma\right|^2 \\ &+\langle \mu, 2it^2[\Phi \wedge [\Phi^* \wedge *\mu]] - 2it^2[\Phi^* \wedge [\Phi \wedge *\mu]] \\ &\qquad +\frac{t}{2}[\Phi^* \wedge \bar\partial_A^*\sigma] + \frac{t}{2}[\Phi \wedge \partial_A^*\sigma^*]\rangle \\ &+\frac{3}{2}\langle \sigma, -t[\Phi \wedge \partial_A^*\mu] + \frac{i}{2}t^2[\Phi \wedge [\Phi^* \wedge *\sigma]]\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Using the identity $\langle\alpha,[\beta,\gamma]\rangle = -\langle[\alpha,\beta^*],\gamma\rangle$ satisfied for the chosen hermitian inner product on $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ we may rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle\mu, 2it^2[\Phi\wedge[\Phi^*\wedge *\mu]] - 2it^2[\Phi^*\wedge[\Phi\wedge *\mu]]\\
&\qquad +\frac{t}{2}[\Phi^*\wedge\bar\partial_A^*\sigma] + \frac{t}{2}[\Phi\wedge\partial_A^*\sigma^*]\rangle\\
&= 2t^2|[*\mu\wedge\Phi^*]|^2 + 2t^2|[*\mu\wedge\Phi]|^2\\
&\qquad +\frac{it}{2}\langle[*\mu\wedge\Phi],\bar\partial_A^*\sigma\rangle - \frac{it}{2}\langle[*\mu\wedge\Phi^*],\partial_A^*\sigma^*\rangle\\
&= 4t^2|[*\mu\wedge\Phi]|^2\\
&\qquad +\frac{it}{2}\langle[*\mu\wedge\Phi],\bar\partial_A^*\sigma\rangle - \frac{it}{2}\langle[*\mu\wedge\Phi^*],\partial_A^*\sigma^*\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{3}{2}\langle\sigma, -t[\Phi\wedge\partial_A^*\mu] + \frac{i}{2}t^2[\Phi\wedge[\Phi^*\wedge *\sigma]]\rangle = \frac{3}{4}t^2|[*\sigma\wedge\Phi^*]|^2 + \frac{3}{2}it\langle[*\sigma\wedge\Phi^*],\partial_A^*\mu\rangle.$$

An application of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality now yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{t}{2}\left|\langle[*\mu\wedge\Phi],\bar\partial_A^*\sigma\rangle\right| + \frac{t}{2}\left|\langle[*\mu\wedge\Phi^*],\partial_A^*\sigma^*\rangle\right|\\
&\qquad\qquad \le t|[*\mu\wedge\Phi]|\cdot|\bar\partial_A^*\sigma| \le \frac{t^2}{2}|[*\mu\wedge\Phi]|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\bar\partial_A^*\sigma|^2
\end{aligned}$$

and (for any $\varepsilon > 0$)

$$\frac{3}{2}t\left|\langle[*\sigma\wedge\Phi^*],\partial_A^*\mu\rangle\right| \le \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon^2t^2|[*\sigma\wedge\Phi^*]|^2 + \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon^{-2}|\partial_A^*\mu|^2.$$

Collecting all terms and noting that $|d_A^*\mu|^2 = |\partial_A^*\mu|^2 + |\bar\partial_A^*\mu|^2$ we arrive at the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta u &\ge |d_A^*\mu|^2 + \frac{3}{2}\left|\bar\partial_A^*\sigma\right|^2\\
&\qquad +4t^2|[*\mu\wedge\Phi]|^2 - \frac{t^2}{2}|[*\mu\wedge\Phi]|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\bar\partial_A^*\sigma|^2\\
&\qquad +\frac{3}{4}t^2|[*\sigma\wedge\Phi^*]|^2 - \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon^2t^2|[*\sigma\wedge\Phi^*]|^2 - \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon^{-2}|\partial_A^*\mu|^2\\
&= (1-\frac{3}{4}\varepsilon^{-2})|\partial_A^*\mu|^2 + |\bar\partial_A^*\mu|^2 + \left|\bar\partial_A^*\sigma\right|^2\\
&\qquad +\frac{7}{2}t^2|[*\mu\wedge\Phi]|^2 + \frac{3}{4}(1-\varepsilon^2)t^2|[*\sigma\wedge\Phi^*]|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Upon choosing $\varepsilon^2 = \frac{7}{8}$, the claimed inequality follows. □

Lemma 4.6. *For $t \ge 1$, let ξ_t be the solution of the equation $D_t^2\xi_t = \eta_t$, where $\operatorname{supp}\eta_t \Subset \Sigma^{\mathrm{ext}}$. We further assume the uniform bound $\|\eta_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \le C_0$ for some constant C_0 and all t. Then there exists a constant C such that $|\xi_t(x)| \le C$ for all $x \in \bigcup_{p\in\mathfrak{p}}\mathbb{D}(p)$ and t. An equivalent statement holds with the operator D_t^2 replaced by D_t^0.*

Proof. With $\|\eta_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq C_0$, Corollary 4.4 yields the uniform estimate $\|\xi_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq C$ for some constant C and all $t \geq 1$. We fix a number $R > 1$ such that $\mathbb{D}_R(p) \cap \operatorname{supp} \eta_t = \emptyset$ for all $p \in \mathfrak{p}$. By Fubini's theorem, there is a constant C_1 and for every t a constant $1 \leq r(t) \leq R$ such that (with $S_{r(t)}(p)$ denoting the boundary of $\mathbb{D}_{r(t)}(p)$)

$$\int_{S_{r(t)}(p)} |\xi_t|^2 \, d\theta \leq C_1 \|\xi_t\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq C_2$$

for some further t-independent constant C_2. Since we assumed that ξ_t satisfies $D_t^2 \xi_t = 0$ on $\mathbb{D}_R(p)$ for all $p \in \mathfrak{p}$, Lemma 4.5 yields subharmonicity of the function $u_t = \frac{1}{2}(|\mu_t|^2 + \frac{3}{4}|\sigma_t|^2)$ on each disk $\mathbb{D}_{r(t)}(p)$, where $\xi_t = (\mu_t, \sigma_t)$. The mean value property of subharmonic functions now implies the result. □

4.4. **Local analysis of the model equation.** We next consider the family of model equations $r^2 D_t^j \xi_t = \eta_t$ over the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Here we suppose that the operator D_t^j is induced by the fiducial solution $(A_t^{\mathrm{fid}}, \Phi_t^{\mathrm{fid}})$ and that the right-hand side is of the form $\eta_t(re^{i\theta}) = \eta(\rho e^{i\theta})$ for some t-idependent function η, where $\rho = \frac{8}{3} t r^{\frac{3}{2}}$. We analyze this equation by means of a suitable decomposition of the Hilbert space $H = L^2(\mathbb{C})$ into an orthogonal sum of D_t^j-invariant subspaces. This decomposition permits us to reduce the model equation to a system of ordinary differential equations. Details are carried out for the operator D_t^2; the easier operator D_t^0 has been analyzed by similar methods in [MSWW14].

Let us define the Hilbert subspaces H_ℓ^+ and H_ℓ^- of H consisting of the pairs of square integrable two-forms

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix} = \Bigg(& \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mu}_\ell e^{-i\ell\theta} + \mu_\ell e^{i\ell\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & -\bar{\mu}_\ell e^{-i\ell\theta} - \mu_\ell e^{i\ell\theta} \end{pmatrix} dz \wedge d\bar{z}, \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_{-\ell+2} e^{i(-\ell+2)\theta} + \sigma_{\ell+2} e^{i(\ell+2)\theta} \\ \tau_{-\ell+1} e^{i(-\ell+1)\theta} + \tau_{\ell+1} e^{i(\ell+1)\theta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} dz \wedge d\bar{z} \Bigg), \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix} = \Bigg(& \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu_\ell e^{i\ell\theta} + \mu_{-\ell+1} e^{i(-\ell+1)\theta} \\ \bar{\mu}_\ell e^{-i\ell\theta} + \bar{\mu}_{-\ell+1} e^{-i(-\ell+1)\theta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} dz \wedge d\bar{z}, \\ & \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{\ell+1} e^{i(\ell+1)\theta} + \sigma_{-\ell+2} e^{i(-\ell+2)\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_{\ell+1} e^{i(\ell+1)\theta} - \sigma_{-\ell+2} e^{i(-\ell+2)\theta} \end{pmatrix} dz \wedge d\bar{z} \Bigg), \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where $\mu_j, \sigma_j, \tau_j \colon [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{C}$ are functions of the radial variable r. It is straightforward to check that D_t^2 preserves the L^2 orthogonal decomposition

$$H = \bigoplus_{\ell \geq 0} H_\ell^+ \oplus \bigoplus_{\ell \geq 1} H_\ell^-.$$

For ease of notation, we identify the pair of two-forms in (4.7) with the tuple of functions $(\mu_\ell, \sigma_{\ell+2}, \sigma_{-\ell+2}, \tau_{\ell+1}, \tau_{-\ell+1})$, and similarly for the pair in (4.8). We denote by $D^{\pm}_{t,\ell}$ the restriction of D^2_t to $H^{\pm}_\ell$. It satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.9)\quad D^+_{t,\ell}\begin{pmatrix}\mu_\ell\\ \sigma_{\ell+2}\\ \sigma_{-\ell+2}\\ \tau_{\ell+1}\\ \tau_{-\ell+1}\end{pmatrix} &= -\frac{1}{2r^2}(r\partial_r)^2\begin{pmatrix}2\mu_\ell\\ \sigma_{\ell+2}\\ \sigma_{-\ell+2}\\ \tau_{\ell+1}\\ \tau_{-\ell+1}\end{pmatrix}\\
&+\frac{1}{2r^2}\begin{pmatrix}2\ell^2\mu_\ell + 64t^2r^3\cosh(2h_t)\mu_\ell\\ \big(\ell+2-4f_t\big)^2\sigma_{\ell+2} + 4rf_t'\sigma_{\ell+2} + 4t^2r^3e^{-2h_t}\sigma_{\ell+2} - 4t^2r^3\tau_{\ell+1}\\ \big(-\ell+2-4f_t\big)^2\sigma_{-\ell+2} + 4rf_t'\sigma_{-\ell+2} + 4t^2r^3e^{-2h_t}\sigma_{-\ell+2} - 4t^2r^3\tau_{-\ell+1}\\ \big(\ell+1+4f_t\big)^2\tau_{\ell+1} - 4rf_t'\tau_{\ell+1} - 4t^2r^3\sigma_{\ell+2} + 4t^2r^3e^{2h_t}\tau_{\ell+1}\\ \big(-\ell+1+4f_t\big)^2\tau_{-\ell+1} - 4rf_t'\tau_{-\ell+1} - 4t^2r^3\sigma_{-\ell+2} + 4t^2r^3e^{2h_t}\tau_{-\ell+1}\end{pmatrix}\\
&+\frac{tr^{\frac12}}{2}\begin{pmatrix}e^{-h_t}\big\{\sigma'_{\ell+2} + \frac{\ell+2}{r}\sigma_{\ell+2} - \frac{4f_t}{r}\sigma_{\ell+2} + \sigma'_{-\ell+2} + \frac{-\ell+2}{r}\sigma_{-\ell+2}\\ -\frac{4f_t}{r}\sigma_{-\ell+2}\big\} - e^{h_t}\big\{\tau'_{\ell+1} + \frac{\ell+1}{r}\tau_{\ell+1} + \frac{4f_t}{r}\tau_{\ell+1} + \tau'_{-\ell+1} + \frac{-\ell+1}{r}\tau_{-\ell+1} + \frac{4f_t}{r}\tau_{-\ell+1}\big\}\\ -4e^{-h_t}(\mu'_\ell - \frac{\ell}{r}\mu_\ell)\\ -4e^{-h_t}(\bar\mu'_\ell + \frac{\ell}{r}\bar\mu_\ell)\\ 4e^{h_t}(\mu'_\ell - \frac{\ell}{r}\mu_\ell)\\ 4e^{-h_t}(\bar\mu'_\ell + \frac{\ell}{r}\bar\mu_\ell)\end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The operator $D^+_{t,\ell}$ in this explicit form will be used below. A similar expression holds for $D^-_{t,\ell}$, which we do not need to write out here. We now turn to the model equation $r^2D_t^2\xi_t = \eta_t$, which we analyze by making crucial use of the invariance of the operator $r^2D_t^2$ under the conformal rescaling $(r,\theta)\mapsto(\rho,\theta) = (\frac{8}{3}tr^{\frac32},\theta)$. Recall that we assume the right-hand side to be of the form $\eta_t(re^{i\theta}) = \eta(\rho e^{i\theta})$ for some t-idependent function η. Then under this rescaling, the model problem turns into the equation

$$\rho^2D_*^2\xi = \eta$$

for some t-independent differential operator D_*^2 (which is not necessary to write out here explicitly). On each subspace $H^{\pm}_\ell$ this equation reduces to the t-independent system of second order ODEs

$$(4.10)\qquad \rho^2D^{\pm}_{*,\ell}\xi^{\pm}_\ell = \eta^{\pm}_\ell,$$

where $\xi^{\pm}_\ell$ and $\eta^{\pm}_\ell$ denote the component of ξ, respectively of η, in $H^{\pm}_\ell$. Introducing $\rho\partial_\rho\xi^{\pm}_\ell$ as a new unknown function turns (4.10) into a system of first order ODEs, which we may write as $\rho\hat D^{\pm}_{*,\ell}\xi^{\pm}_\ell = \eta^{\pm}_\ell$, or equivalently as

$$(4.11)\qquad \hat D^{\pm}_{*,\ell}\xi^{\pm}_\ell = \frac{\eta^{\pm}_\ell}{\rho}.$$

Let $\Phi^{\pm}_\ell$ be a fundamental system of solutions of the homogeneous equation $\hat D^{\pm}_{*,\ell}\xi^{\pm}_\ell = 0$ on $\mathbb{C}$. By variation of constants, a particular solution of Eq.

(4.11) is

$$\xi_\ell^\pm(\rho) = \Phi_\ell^\pm(\rho) \int_0^\rho (\Phi_\ell^\pm)^{-1}(\lambda)\eta_\ell^\pm(\lambda)\,\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}. \tag{4.12}$$

Returning to our original PDE $r^2 D_t^2 \xi_t = \eta_t$, we have thus obtained a solution ξ_t of the form $\xi_t(re^{i\theta}) = \xi_*(\rho e^{i\theta})$, where the component of ξ_t in $H_\ell^\pm$ is $\xi_{t,\ell}^\pm(r) = \xi_\ell^\pm(\rho)$.

Subsequently, we are concerned with the model equation $r^2 D_t^\ell \xi_t = \eta_t$ where the support of the function η_t is contained in the disk of radius $Ct^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ about 0, respectively η is supported in the disk of t-independent radius C about 0. In this situation, we may add an appropriate solution of the homogeneous equation and therefore arrange for the solution in (4.12) to be in the domain $\{\rho e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{C} \mid \rho \geq C\}$ of the form

$$\xi_\ell^\pm(\rho) = B(\eta_\ell^\pm)\varphi_\ell^\pm(\rho),$$

where $\varphi_\ell^\pm$ is any solution of the homogeneous equation $D_{*,\ell}^\pm \xi_\ell^\pm = 0$, and the map $\eta_\ell^\pm \mapsto B(\eta_\ell^\pm) \in \mathbb{C}$ is linear. We are thus lead to consider more closely this homogeneous equation in the region $\rho \geq C$ for sufficiently large constant C. We show for each ℓ the existence of a solution $\varphi_\ell^\pm$ with at least polynomial decay rate in ρ. For $\rho \geq C$ the pair $(A_t^{\mathrm{fid}}, \Phi_t^{\mathrm{fid}})$ equals $(A_\infty^{\mathrm{fid}}, \Phi_\infty^{\mathrm{fid}})$ up to some error which decays exponentially in ρ, so we may instead work with the operators D_∞^2 induced by the latter. Then (switching now back to the variable r) the above L^2 orthogonal decomposition of the Hilbert space H into the invariant subspaces $H_\ell^\pm$ can be refined further. Namely, we have the L^2 orthogonal splitting $H_\ell^\pm = H_\ell^{\pm+} \oplus H_\ell^{\pm-}$, where $H_\ell^{\pm+}$ is the subspace of those maps in $H_\ell^\pm$ which commute with $\Phi_\infty^{\mathrm{fid}}$, and $H_\ell^{\pm-}$ is its orthogonal complement. To be specific, H_ℓ^{++} is spanned by the maps of the form,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu_\ell \\ \sigma_{\ell+2} \\ \sigma_{-\ell+2} \\ \tau_{\ell+1} \\ \tau_{-\ell+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_{\ell+2} \\ \sigma_{-\ell+2} \\ \sigma_{\ell+2} \\ \sigma_{-\ell+2} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \left(0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_{-\ell+2}e^{i(-\ell+2)\theta} + \sigma_{\ell+2}e^{i(\ell+2)\theta} \\ \sigma_{-\ell+2}e^{i(-\ell+1)\theta} + \sigma_{\ell+2}e^{i(\ell+1)\theta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} dz \wedge d\bar{z}\right),$$

(which can be further decomposed into the two subspaces spanned by $\sigma_{\ell+2}$, respectively $\sigma_{-\ell+2}$), and similar expressions can be derived for the three other subspaces. From (4.9) we read off that D_∞^2 acts on the subspace spanned by $(0, \sigma_{\ell+2}, 0, \sigma_{\ell+2}, 0)$ as

$$D_{\infty,\ell}^+ \sigma_{\ell+2} = -\frac{1}{2r^2}(r\partial_r)^2 \sigma_{\ell+2} + \frac{1}{2r^2}(\ell + \frac{3}{2})^2 \sigma_{\ell+2},$$

respectively on that spanned by $(0,0,\sigma_{-\ell+2},0,\sigma_{-\ell+2})$ as

$$D^+_{\infty,\ell}\sigma_{-\ell+2} = -\frac{1}{2r^2}(r\partial_r)^2\sigma_{-\ell+2} + \frac{1}{2r^2}(-\ell+\frac{3}{2})^2\sigma_{\ell+2}.$$

Therefore, the homogeneous equation $D^+_{\infty,\ell}\sigma_{\ell+2}=0$ admits the polynomially decaying solution $\sigma_{\ell+2} = r^{-\ell-\frac{3}{2}}$ $(\ell \geq 0)$. Similarly, $D^+_{\infty,\ell}\sigma_{-\ell+2}=0$ admits the polynomially decaying solutions $\sigma_2 = r^{-\frac{3}{2}}$, $\sigma_0=\sigma_1 = r^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, and $\sigma_{-\ell+2} = r^{-\ell+\frac{3}{2}}$ $(\ell \geq 3)$. It is easily checked that the bounded solutions to the homogeneous equation contained in H_ℓ^{-+} likewise decay to 0 at rate $r^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ or faster. In contrast, bounded solutions to the homogeneous equation contained in $H_\ell^{\pm-}$ decay at an exponential rate to 0. To see that, we appeal to Lemma 4.5. With the pointwise inequality

$$|[*\mu\wedge\Phi_\infty]|^2 + |[*\sigma\wedge\Phi^*_\infty]|^2 \geq C(|\mu|^2+|\sigma|^2)$$

being satisfied by all $(\mu,\sigma)\in H_\ell^{\pm-}$ for some constant $C>0$, Eq. (4.6) implies for the function $u=\frac{1}{2}(|\mu|^2+\frac{3}{4}(|\sigma|^2)$ the differential inequality

$$\Delta u \geq Cu.$$

From this inequality it is standard to conclude exponential decay of every bounded solution (μ,σ) to the homogeneous equation $D^\pm_{\infty,\ell}(\mu,\sigma)=0$, the rate of decay being independent of ℓ.

4.5. **Estimates on the global solution.** We return to the discussion of the family of equations $D_t^j\xi_t=\eta_t$ on the surface Σ. Concerning the right-hand side η_t we make the assumption that η_t is supported in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{ct^{-\frac{2}{3}}}(p)$ about some fixed $p\in\mathfrak{p}$, and that it is there of the form $\eta_t(r,\theta)=\eta(\frac{8}{3}tr^{\frac{3}{2}})$ for some t-independent map η.

Lemma 4.7. *The unique solution ξ_t of the equation $D_t^2\xi_t=\eta_t$ decomposes (not uniquely) as $\xi_t=u_t+r_t$ where*

(i) the map u_t is supported in $\mathbb{D}(p)$ and $u_t(r,\theta)=t^{-\frac{4}{3}}u(ctr^{\frac{3}{2}})$ for some t-independent smooth map u;

(ii) the map r_t satisfies the estimates $\|r_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}\leq Ct^{-\frac{5}{3}}$ and

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{D}(p_1)}|r_t(x)|\leq Ct^{-\frac{5}{3}}$$

for some t-independent constant C and every $p_1\in\mathfrak{p}$.

An analogue statement holds with D_t^2 replaced by the operator D_t^0.

Proof. The function u_t is constructed as an approximate solution to the equation $D_t^2\xi_t=\eta_t$ as follows. On $\mathbb{C}$ we consider the equation $r^2D_t^2w_t = r^2\eta_t$. By the discussion in §4.4, using that $r^2=ct^{-\frac{4}{3}}\rho^{\frac{4}{3}}$ decays at rate $t^{-\frac{4}{3}}$, it admits a solution w_t of the form $w_t(r)=t^{-\frac{4}{3}}w(\rho)$ for some t-independent function w. Furthermore, this function w can be chosen to decay at least at the polynomial rate $\rho^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ on the interval $[C,\infty)$ (with C sufficiently large

but fixed). We choose a smooth cutoff function $\chi_t\colon [0,\infty] \to [0,\infty)$ such that $\chi(\rho) = 1$ for $0 \le \rho \le Ct$ and the support of χ_t is contained in $[0, 2Ct]$, and that furthermore $t|\chi_t'(\rho)| + t^2|\chi_t''(\rho)| \le C$ for all ρ. Then we define the smooth map u_t on Σ by

$$u_t(r,\theta) = \chi_t(\rho) t^{-\frac{4}{3}} w(\rho)$$

for $(r,\theta) \in \mathbb{D}(p)$, and continue it by zero outside $\mathbb{D}(p)$. By construction, the function u_t is an approximate solution to the equation $D_t^2 \xi_t = \eta_t$ on Σ, with error $S_t := D_t^2 u_t - \eta_t$ supported on the annulus $A(p) = \mathbb{D}_2(p) \setminus \mathbb{D}(p)$ of inner radius 1 and outer radius 2 around p. The function S_t can be estimated as follows. Writing D_t^2 as $D_t^2 = t^{\frac{4}{3}} \rho^{\frac{2}{3}} \big(-\frac{1}{\rho^2}(\rho\partial_\rho)^2 + M\big)$, where M is some operator which does not involve derivatives with respect to r, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} S_t &= t^{-\frac{4}{3}} \chi_t D_t^2 w - \eta_t \\ &\quad + \rho^{\frac{2}{3}} \Big(-\frac{1}{\rho^2}(\rho\partial_\rho)^2 \chi_t \cdot w - \frac{1}{\rho^2} \rho\partial_\rho \chi_t \cdot \rho\partial_\rho w \Big) \\ &= \rho^{\frac{2}{3}} \Big(-\frac{1}{\rho^2}(\rho\partial_\rho)^2 \chi_t \cdot w - \partial_\rho \chi_t \cdot \partial_\rho w \Big). \end{aligned}$$

By the properties of the cutoff function χ_t and with w decaying as $\rho^{-\frac{1}{3}}$, the term in the bracket admits the pointwise bound

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{\rho^2}(\rho\partial_\rho)^2 \chi_t \cdot w + \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_\rho \chi_t \cdot \rho\partial_\rho w \right| &\le C(t^{-2}\rho^{-\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{1}{\rho} t^{-1} |\rho\partial_\rho w|) \\ &\le C t^{-2} \cdot t^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used that $\operatorname{supp} \chi_t' \subseteq [Ct, 2Ct]$. On the other hand, the prefactor $\rho^{\frac{2}{3}}$ grows like $t^{\frac{2}{3}}$ so that S_t satisfies the pointwise bound $|S_t| \le Ct^{-\frac{5}{3}}$. We are thus left with the equation $D_t^2 r_t = S_t$, where S_t is supported on the annulus $A(p)$. By the uniform boundedness of the family of maps $G_t^2\colon L^2(\Sigma) \to L^2(\Sigma)$ (cf. Corollary 4.4) the asserted L^2 bound on r_t follows. Concerning the claimed pointwise estimate we use that $r_t = (\mu_t, \sigma_t)$ satisfies the equation $D_t^2 r_t = 0$ on $\mathbb{D}(p_1)$. Thanks to Lemma 4.5 this implies the subharmonicity of the function $u_t = \frac{1}{2}(|\mu_t|^2 + \frac{3}{4}|\sigma_t|^2)$ on that disk. An application of Lemma 4.6 then yields the result. □

Along the same line of argument, we obtain uniform bounds for the gauge correction term resulting from the solution ξ_t of Eq. (3.7) with right-hand side E_t.

Lemma 4.8. *Let ξ_t denote the solution of the equation $D_t^0 \xi_t = E_t$, where E_t is as in Proposition 3.3. It decomposes (not uniquely) as $\xi_t = u_t + r_t$ where*

(i) the map u_t is supported in $\mathbb{D}(p)$ and $u_t(r,\theta) = t^{-\frac{1}{3}} u(ctr^{\frac{3}{2}})$ for some t-independent smooth map u;

(ii) the map r_t satisfies the estimate

$$\|r_t\|_{C^0(\Sigma)} \leq Ce^{-\beta t} \tag{4.13}$$

for t-independent constants $\beta, C > 0$.

Furthermore, there is a function $v = v(\rho, \theta)$ such that the gauge correction term $i_t\xi_t = i_t u_t + i_t r_t$ satisfies $|i_t u_t(r,\theta)| = t^{\frac{1}{3}} v(\frac{8}{3}tr^{\frac{3}{2}}, \theta)$ for all $(r,\theta) \in \mathbb{D}(p)$ and

$$\|i_t r_t\|_{C^0(\Sigma)} \leq Ce^{-\beta t}. \tag{4.14}$$

Proof. Since $E_t(r) = tE(\rho)$ for some t-independent function E, we are in the setup of Lemma 4.7 and therefore obtain statement (i) by an analogue line of argument. Statement (ii) also follows immediately; the sharper exponential decay we are claiming here is due the fact that E is a section of the subbundle of diagonal endomorphisms for which the discussion at the end of §4.4 yields exponentially decaying solutions. Indeed, since E_t is diagonal, so is u_t. Now the action of the operator D_t^0 on diagonal endomorphisms is given by

$$D_t^0 \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & -u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta u + 8t^2 r\cosh(2h_t)u & 0 \\ 0 & -\Delta u - 8t^2 r\cosh(2h_t)u \end{pmatrix},$$

which since $8t^2 r\cosh(2h_t) \geq C > 0$ is uniformly positive admits exponentially decaying solutions to the equation $D_t^0\xi_t = 0$ on $\mathbb{C}$. From here we may proceed as in the proof of Lemma 4.7 and let r_t be the solution of the equation $D_t^0 r_t = S_t$, where the function S_t is defined as before, but is now exponentially decaying in t. It was shown in [MSWW14] that the norm of the operator $(D_t^0)^{-1}\colon L^2(\Sigma) \to H^2(\Sigma)$ is growing at an at most polynomial rate in t, which implies that $\|r_t\|_{H^2(\Sigma)}$ is exponentially decaying in t. Now a standard bootstrap argument shows exponential decay with respect to any C^k norm, implying the estimates (4.13) and (4.14). Concerning the term $i_t u_t = (d_{A_t^{\mathrm{fid}}} u_t, t[\Phi_t^{\mathrm{fid}} \wedge u_t])$ we substitute $\rho = \frac{8}{3}tr^{\frac{3}{2}}$ and $r\partial_r = \frac{3}{2}\rho\partial_\rho$ to obtain that

$$d_{A_t^{\mathrm{fid}}} u_t = t^{-\frac{1}{3}} \left(ct^{\frac{2}{3}} \rho^{\frac{1}{3}} \partial_\rho u \, d\rho + \partial_\theta u \, d\theta \right).$$

Since $|d\theta| = r^{-1} = ct^{\frac{2}{3}}\rho^{-\frac{2}{3}}$, it follows that $d_{A_t^{\mathrm{fid}}} u_t$ grows like $t^{\frac{1}{3}}$. Now the second term is of the form

$$t[\Phi_t^{\mathrm{fid}} \wedge u_t] = [tr^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi, t^{-\frac{1}{3}} u]\, dz$$

for some endomorphism $\psi = \psi(\rho, \theta)$, from which the estimate on $|i_t u_t(r,\theta)|$ follows. □

5. Proof of the main theorem

To finally show the asserted estimates on the sectional curvatures of G_{L^2} we fix a simple holomorphic quadratic differential q and let $t \mapsto S_t^{\mathrm{app}} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{app}}$ denote as in §3.1 the approximation to the Hitchin section along the ray $t \mapsto t^2 q$. We further specify a family $\{X_t, Y_t\}$ of tangent two-frames of $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{app}}$ along the path $t \mapsto S_t^{\mathrm{app}}$ in the following way. We fix a pair $\{\dot{q}_1, \dot{q}_2\}$

of holomorphic quadratic differentials. For each value of the parameter t, we let $\{X_t, Y_t\}$ be the tangent vector in Coulomb gauge at S_t^{app} induced by $\{\dot{q}_1, \dot{q}_2\}$ in the sense of Definition 3.1. Choosing $\dot{q}_1, \dot{q}_2$ in such a way that the pair X_∞, Y_∞ is L^2 orthonormal yields for sufficiently large t an approximately L^2 orthonormal two-frame X_t, Y_t.

Proposition 5.1. *For t sufficiently large there holds the estimate*

$$\left|1 - \|X_t\|^2\|Y_t\|^2 + \langle X_t, Y_t\rangle^2\right| \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{3}}$$

for some constant C which does not depend on t.

Proof. The stated inequality follows straightforwardly from estimate (3.8). □

We introduce the notation $X_t = (t^{-1}\alpha_{1,t}, \varphi_{1,t})$ and $Y_t = (t^{-1}\alpha_{2,t}, \varphi_{2,t})$. The purpose of the next proposition is to derive explicit expressions for these tangent vectors on each disk $\mathbb{D}(p)$, where $p \in \mathfrak{p}$. These are similar to (3.5) and (3.6), however the effect of the final gauge correction step in §3.2 has to be taken into account. On $\mathbb{D}(p)$, write $\dot{q}_j = \dot{f}_j\, dz^2$ for a holomorphic function $\dot{f}_j$, $j = 1, 2$.

Proposition 5.2. *The family $X_t = (t^{-1}\alpha_{1,t}, \varphi_{1,t})$ (and similarly $Y_t = (t^{-1}\alpha_{2,t}, \varphi_{2,t})$) has the following properties.*

(i) There is a constant $\beta > 0$ such that on each disk $\mathbb{D}(p)$, with $\alpha_{1,t} = \alpha_{1,t}^{0,1}\, d\bar{z} - (\alpha_{1,t}^{0,1})^*\, dz$,

$$\alpha_{1,t}^{0,1} = (R_t^1 \dot{f} + R_t^2 \bar{\dot{f}}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\beta t})$$

and

$$\varphi_{1,t} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_t \dot{f} \\ T_t \frac{\dot{f}}{f} & 0 \end{pmatrix} dz + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\beta t}).$$

Here R_t^1, R_t^2, S_t and T_t are functions of the radial variable r of the form $R_t^1(r) = R^1(\frac{8}{3}tr^{\frac{3}{2}})$ etc.

(ii) There is a constant C such that

$$|t^{-1}\alpha_{1,t}(x)| + |\varphi_{1,t}(x)| \leq Ct^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

for all $t \geq 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{D}_{ct^{-\frac{2}{3}}}(p)$, and $|t^{-1}\alpha_{1,t}| + |\varphi_{1,t} - \varphi_{1,\infty}| \to 0$ at an exponential rate in t outside the union of disks $\mathbb{D}_{ct^{-\frac{2}{3}}}(p)$, $p \in \mathfrak{p}$.

Proof. Direct calculation shows that the asserted properties are satisfied by the maps φ_t as in (3.5) and α_t as in (3.6). They continue to hold true for $\alpha_{1,t}$ and $\varphi_{1,t}$ as follows from the estimates on the gauge correction term stated in Lemma 4.8. □

After these preparations we turn to the proof of the main result.

Proof. **(Proof of Theorem 1.1).** For ease of notation we abbreviate $(X,Y) = (X_t, Y_t)$ and $G^j = G_t^j$. We consider the numerator and denominator in

$$K(\Pi(X,Y)) = \frac{\langle R(X,Y)Y, X\rangle}{\|X\|^2\|Y\|^2 - \langle X,Y\rangle^2} \tag{5.1}$$

separately. As for the numerator, we have from (4.1) the expression

$$\langle R(X,Y)Y,X\rangle = 3\langle G^0 P_X^* Y, P_X^* Y\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \langle G^2 Q_X X, Q_Y Y\rangle_V - \langle G^2 Q_X Y, Q_X Y\rangle_V.$$

It suffices to show that each of the summands on the right-hand side is of the asserted form. We prove this for $\langle G^2 Q_X X, Q_Y Y\rangle_V$, the two other cases being similar. We split

$$\langle G^2 Q_X X, Q_Y Y\rangle_V = \int_{\Sigma^{\mathrm{ext}}} \langle G^2 Q_X X, Q_Y Y\rangle \,\mathrm{vol}_\Sigma + \sum_{p\in\mathfrak{p}} \int_{\mathbb{D}(p)} \langle G^2 Q_X X, Q_Y Y\rangle \,\mathrm{vol}_\Sigma =: I + \sum_{p\in\mathfrak{p}} II_p.$$

Concerning the term I, it follows from Proposition 5.2 that $Q_Y Y$ is exponentially decaying as $t\to\infty$ on Σ^{ext}, while $G^2 Q_X X$ is polynomially bounded in t by Corollary 4.4. Hence $|I| \to 0$ at an exponential rate as $t\to\infty$. We now fix $p\in\mathfrak{p}$ and consider the first component $G^2 Q_X X$ of term II_p. Using a partition of unity subordinate to the open covering of Σ provided by the sets $\mathbb{D}(p')$, $p'\in\mathfrak{p}$ and Σ^{ext}, we can write

$$G^2 Q_X X = G^2(\chi_{\mathrm{ext}} Q_X X) + G^2(\chi_p Q_X X) + \sum_{p'\neq p} G^2(\chi_{p'} Q_X X).$$

Again, $\chi_{\mathrm{ext}} Q_X X$ is exponentially decaying in t and can therefore disregarded in what follows. Furthermore, Proposition 5.2 shows that $|\chi_{p'} Q_X X|$ grows at rate $t^{\frac{2}{3}}$ on $\mathbb{D}(p')$ and has the scaling properties as asserted in Lemma 4.7. Hence $G^2(\chi_{p'} Q_X X) = u_t + r_t$ with u_t being supported in $\mathbb{D}(p')$ and $|r_t| \leq C t^{-\frac{5}{3}} \cdot t^{\frac{2}{3}}$. Hence the pairing

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}(p)} \langle G^2(\chi_{p'} Q_X X), Q_Y Y\rangle \,\mathrm{vol}_\Sigma$$

decays to 0 at rate $t^{-\frac{4}{3}}\cdot t^{-1}\cdot t^{\frac{2}{3}} = t^{-\frac{4}{3}}\cdot t^{-\frac{1}{3}}$, where the prefactor $t^{-\frac{4}{3}}$ comes from the area form $r dr\, d\theta$ and the fact that $|Q_Y Y|$ is exponentially decaying in the region where $r > c t^{-\frac{2}{3}}$. It remains to consider

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}(p)} \langle G^2(\chi_p Q_X X), Q_Y Y\rangle \,\mathrm{vol}_\Sigma,$$

which we claim contributes the leading order term to II_p, and decays at rate $t^{-\frac{4}{3}}$. In fact, this follows again by applying Lemma 4.7, which yields that $G^2(\chi_p Q_X X) = u_t + r_t$ with $|u_t|$ decaying at rate $t^{-\frac{2}{3}}$. Thus the main

contribution to II_p is $\int_{\mathbb{D}(p)} \langle u_t, Q_Y Y \rangle \operatorname{vol}_\Sigma$. The integrand here admits a uniform pointwise bound in t and is supported (up to an exponentially small term) in $\mathbb{D}_{ct^{-\frac{2}{3}}}(p)$, so that the overall rate of decay of the integral is $t^{-\frac{4}{3}}$. We finally consider the Taylor expansion of $G^2(\chi_p Q_X X)$ around p. We notice that the constant term satisfies a pointwise bound by $Ct^{\frac{2}{3}}$, while all other terms decay at least like $t^{-\frac{2}{3}}$. It follows that the leading order term in II_p (which we have seen decays as $t^{-\frac{4}{3}}$) is determined by the value of $G^2(\chi_p Q_X X)$ at p. This value in turn is determined by the values of the holomorphic quadratic differentials $\dot{f}_1$ and $\dot{f}_2$ at p. As for the denominator in (5.1), the estimate of Proposition 5.2 shows that it expands into 1 plus an error term which decays at rate $t^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ in t. Hence the leading order term of the quotient in (5.1) is of the asserted form. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

References

[Bä] C. Bär, *The Dirac operator on hyperbolic manifolds of finite volume.* J. Differential Geom. **54** (2000), no. 3, 439–488.

[Bi08] R. Bielawski, *Curvature of hyperkähler quotients.* Cent. Eur. J. Math. **6** (2008), no. 2, 191–203.

[BiSch06] I. Biswas, G. Schumacher, *Geometry of moduli spaces of Higgs bundles.* Comm. Anal. Geom. **14** (2006), no. 4, 765–793.

[Co88] C. Corlette, *Flat G-bundles with canonical metrics.* J. Differential Geom. **28** (1988), no. 3, 361–382.

[Do87] S. K. Donaldson, *Twisted harmonic maps and the self-duality equations.* Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **55** (1987), no. 1, 127–131.

[Fr16] L. Fredrickson, *Asymptotic limits in the Hitchin moduli space*, Ph.D. dissertation, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Tex., 2016.

[Fr99] D. Freed, *Special Kähler manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. **203** (1999), 31–52.

[GMN10] D. Gaiotto, G. Moore, and A. Neitzke, *Four-dimensional wall-crossing via three-dimensional field theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **299** (2010), no. 1, 163–224.

[GMN13] D. Gaiotto, G. Moore, and A. Neitzke, *Wall-crossing, Hitchin systems, and the WKB approximation*, Adv. Math. **234** (2013), 239–403.

[GrPa87] D. Groisser, T. H. Parker, *The Riemannian geometry of the Yang-Mills moduli space.* Comm. Math. Phys. **112** (1987), no. 4, 663–689.

[GS90] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Symplectic techniques in physics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[Hab] L. Habermann, *On the geometry of the space of* Sp(1)*-instantons with Pontrjagin index* 1 *in the* 4*-sphere.* Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry **6** (1988), no. 1, 3–29.

[Hi87] N.J. Hitchin, *The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface.* Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **55** (1987), no. 1, 59–126.

[HKLR87] N. J. Hitchin, A. Karlhede, A. Lindström, M. Roček, *Hyper-Kähler metrics and supersymmetry.* Comm. Math. Phys. **108** (1987), no. 4, 535–589.

[It88] M. Itoh, *Geometry of anti-self-dual connections and Kuranishi map.* J. Math. Soc. Japan **40** (1988), no. 1, 9–33.

[JoPe92] J. Jost, X.-W. Peng, *Group actions, gauge transformations, and the calculus of variations.* Math. Ann. **293** (1992), 595–621.

[MSWW14] R. Mazzeo, J. Swoboda, H. Weiss, F. Witt, *Ends of the moduli space of Higgs bundles*, Duke Math. J. **165** (2016), no. 12, 2227–2271.

[MSWW15] R. Mazzeo, J. Swoboda, H. Weiss and F. Witt *Limiting configurations for solutions of Hitchin's equation*, Semin. Theor. Spectr. Geom. **31** (2012-2014), 91–116.

[MSWW17] R. Mazzeo, J. Swoboda, H. Weiss, F. Witt, *Asymptotic geometry of the L^2 metric on the Hitchin moduli space I: The free region*, article in preparation (2017).

[Mo16] T. Mochizuki, *Asymptotic behaviour of certain families of harmonic bundles on Riemann surfaces*, J. Topology, Advance Publication, 2016. doi: 10.1112/jtopol/jtw018.

[Ne14] A. Neitzke, *Notes on a new construction of hyperkahler metrics*, Homological mirror symmetry and tropical geometry, 351-375, Lect. Notes Unione Mat. Ital., 15, Springer, Cham, 2014.

[Sch93] G. Schumacher, *The curvature of the Petersson-Weil metric on the moduli space of Kähler-Einstein manifolds.* In: Complex analysis and geometry, 339–354, Univ. Ser. Math., Plenum, New York, 1993.

[Siu86] Y. T. Siu, *Curvature of the Weil-Petersson metric in the moduli space of compact Kähler-Einstein manifolds of negative first Chern class.* In: Contributions to several complex variables, 261–298, Aspects Math., E9, Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1986.

Mathematisches Institut der Universität München, Theresienstrasse 39, D–80333 München, Germany

E-mail address: swoboda@math.lmu.de